

# KARYOTYPE ANALYSIS OF ZINGIBERACEAE IN THAILAND: SYSTEMATIC IMPLICATION

KANTIWA SAENPROM

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biodiversity at Mahasarakham University

March 2018

All rights reserved by Mahasarakham University



# KARYOTYPE ANALYSIS OF ZINGIBERACEAE IN THAILAND: SYSTEMATIC IMPLICATION

# KANTIWA SAENPROM

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biodiversity at Mahasarakham University

**March 2018** 

All rights reserved by Mahasarakham University





The examining committee has unanimously approved this dissertation, submitted by Mrs. Kantiwa Saenprom, as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biodiversity at Mahasarakham University.

**Examining Committee** 

(Prof. Alongklod Tanomtong, Ph.D.) Chairman (External expert) Committee (Asst. Prof. Surapon Saensouk, Ph.D.) (Advisor) (Asst. Prof. Piyaporn Saensouk, Ph.D.) Committee (Co-advisor) hadaon Ser Committee (Chadaporn Senakun, Ph.D.) (Co-advisor) (Assoc. Prof. Weerachai Saijuntha, Ph.D.) (Faculty graduate committee) Sombart Appamaraka. (Sombat Appamaraka, Ph.D.) Committee

(Inter-faculty graduate committee)

Mahasarakham University has granted approval to accept this dissertation as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy in Biodiversity

W. Siguitk

(Assoc. Prof. Weerachai Saijuntha, Ph.D.) Director of Walai Rukhavej Botanical Research Institute

(Asst. Prof. Krit Chaimoon, Ph.D.)

Acting Dean of Graduate School March 30, 2018

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This dissertation was granted by a research grant from the Graduate School of Mahasarakham University, Thailand, for financial support.

The dissertation would not have been accomplished if without the help from several people. First of all, I would like to thank Professor Dr. Alongklod Tanomtong, Dr. Sombat Appamaraka, Associate Professor Dr. Weerachai Saijuntha, Assistant Professor Dr. Surapon Saensouk, Assistant Professor Dr. Piyaporn Saensouk and Dr. Chadaporn Senakun, examining committee for their important suggestions.

I was very fortunate to have many friends both within and outside the Faculty of Medicine during my doctoral life. I thank them all for their being very supportive.

I am deeply indebted to Walai Rukhavej Botanical Research Institute for uses of its facilities.

Finally, I wish to express my special gratitude to my family: my parents Mr. Phanet Saenprom and Mrs. Nida Saenprom; my husband Mr. Siriwoot Roshorm and my daughters for their support and prayed for me throughout the time of my research.

This thesis is heartily dedicated to my father who took the lead to heaven before the completion of this work.

Kantiwa Saenprom

i

TITLE	Karyotype Analysis of Zingiberaceae in Thailand : Systematic		
	Implication		
AUTHOR	Mrs. Kantiwa Saenprom		
DEGREE	The degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biodiversity		
ADVISORS	Asst. Prof. Surapon Saensouk, Ph.D.		
	Asst. Prof. Piyaporn Saensouk, Ph.D.		
	Chadaporn Senakun, Ph.D.		
UNIVERSITY	Mahasarakham University <b>YEAR</b> 2018		

#### ABSTRACT

Somatic chromosome numbers and karyotypes for 16 species of Thai Zingiberaceae from five different genera Alpinia, Amomum Elletariopsis, Kaempferia and Zingiber was determined using tissue samples of root tips. The somatic numbers are range from 2n = 22 to 2n = 48. Eight species in Alpinia, Amomum and Elettariopsis were studied have somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 and found that most of them have 2n = 4x = 48, suggesting that they are tetraploid. The four species of *Kaempferia* were examined with a chromosome number ranging of 2n = 22 to 2n = 42. The somatic numbers of K. angustifolia and K. grandifolia are 2n = 22, while the chromosome numbers found in K. rotunda and K. marginata are 2n = 30 and 42, respectively. In addition, according to this study the somatic number of 2n = 22 were found in Z. montanum, Z. mekongense, Z. officinale and Z. ottensii, confirming that these four Zingiber species are diploid with basic chromosome x = 11. All species observed symmetric and asymmetric karyotype. The chromosomes included metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), acrocentric (a) and telocentric (t). The telocentric chromosomes were observed in the 21<sup>st</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup> medium chromosome pairs in *Elettariopsis biphylla* S. Saensouk & P. Saensouk. All plants in this study is the first time to describe the karyotypes. The difference of karyotypes in these species can be used as potential cytogenetic data and provides an advantage for more accurate cytotaxonomy classification of this family found in Thailand.

Key words : Chromosome number, Karyotype, idiogram, Zingiberaceae

ชื่อเรื่อง	การวิเคราะห์แคริโอไทป์ของพืชวงศ์ขิงในประเทศไทย : นัยทางซิสเทมาติกส์		
ผู้วิจัย	นางกรรณทิวา แสนพรม		
ปริญญา	ปรัชญาดุษฎีบัณทิต	สาขาวิชา	ความหลากหลายทางชีวภาพ
อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา	ผู้ช่วยศาสตราจารย์ ดร. สุรพล แสนสุข		
	ผู้ช่วยศาสตราจารย์ ดร.	ปิยะพร แสน	สุข
	อาจารย์ ดร.ชฎาพร เสน	เาคุณ	
มหาวิทยาลัย	มหาวิทยาลัยมหาสารคา	ม <b>ปีที่พิม</b>	พ์ 2561

# บทคัดย่อ

จากการศึกษาจำนวนโครโมโซมและแคริโอไทป์ของพืชวงศ์ขิงที่พบในประเทศไทย จากเซลล์ ปลายรากจำนวน 16 ชนิด จัดอยู่ใน 5 สกุลดังนี้ Alpinia, Amomum Elletariopsis, Kaempferia และ Zingiber พบว่าพืชที่ศึกษามีจำนวนโครโมโซมอยู่ระหว่าง 2n = 22 ถึง 2n = 48 มีจำนวน 8 ชนิด ในสกุล Alpinia, Amomum และ Elettariopsis ที่มีจำนวนโครโมโซมเท่ากันคือ 2n = 48 และจัดเป็น ชุดโครโมโซมแบบเตตระพลอยด์ สำหรับการศึกษาพืชสกุล Kaempferia จำนวน 4 ชนิด พบจำนวน โครโมโซมอยู่ระหว่าง 2n = 22 ถึง 2n = 42 โดยพบว่า K. angustifolia และ K. grandifolia มี ้จำนวนโครโมโซมเท่ากันคือ 2n = 22 ในขณะที่ K. rotunda และ K. marginata มีจำนวนโครโมโซม 2n = 30 และ 2n = 42 ตามลำดับ นอกจากนี้เมื่อศึกษาพืชในสกุล Zingiber จำนวน 4 ชนิด ได้แก่ Z. montanum, Z. mekongense, Z. officinale และ Z. ottensii พบว่ามีจำนวนโครโมโซมเท่ากัน คือ 2n = 22 ซึ่งจัดเป็นดิพลอยด์ โดยคาริโอไทป์ของพืชตัวอย่างที่พบนั้นมีทั้งเป็นคาริโอไทป์แบบ สมมาตร (symmetrical karyotype) และคาริโอไทป์แบบไม่สมมาตร (asymmetrical karyotype) ซึ่ง ประกอบด้วยโครโมโซมชนิดเมตาเซนตริก (m) ซับเมตาเซนตริก (sm) อะโครเซนตริก (a) และเทโลเซน ิตริก (t) ในการศึกษานี้พบโครโมโซมแบบเทโลเซนตริก (t) บนตำแหน่งโครโมโซมขนาดกลางคู่ที่ 21 และ 22 ของชนิด Elettariopsis biphylla S. Saensouk & P. Saensouk. การศึกษานี้เป็นการรายงานการ ้จัดคาริโอไทป์พืชกลุ่มนี้เป็นครั้งแรก โดยพืชตัวอย่างที่ศึกษาแต่ละชนิดมีสูตรแคริโอไทป์แตกต่างกัน ซึ่ง ้ข้อมูลที่ได้จากการศึกษานี้จะเป็นประโยชน์ต่อการศึกษาด้านพันธุศาสตร์และเป็นข้อมูลสนับสนุนในการ จัดจำแนกพืชวงศ์ขิงที่พบในประเทศไทยต่อไป

**คำสำคัญ** : จำนวนโครโมโซม แคริโอไทป์ อิดิโอแกรม พืชวงศ์ขิง

# CONTENTS

Page
------

Acknowledgement	i	
Abstract in English	ii	
Abstract in Thai		
List of Tables	vi	
List of Figures	ix	
Chapter 1 Introduction	1	
1.1 Background and rationale of the study	1	
1.2 Research question and hypothesis	2	
1.3 Objectives of the study	2	
1.4 Conceptual framework	3	
Chapter 2 Literature Review	4	
2.1 Systematic position of Zingiberaceae Family	4	
2.2 Distribution and habitat specialization	6	
2.3 Mode of propagation	7	
2.4 Medicinal gingers	7	
2.5 The Zingiberaceae in Thailand	11	
2.6 Chromosome numbers and idiogram of the Zingiberaceae family	14	
Chapter 3 Methodology		
3.1 Plant collection and plant materials	17	
3.2 Methods	19	

Mahasarakham University

# **CONTENTS** (continued)

v

3.3 Location of research conducting	20	
Chapter 4 Results and Discussion		
4.1 Chromosome numbers	21	
4.2 Karyotype and idiograms	33	
Chapter 5 Conclusion		
5.1 Chromosome number and karyotype	65	
References		
Appendices		
Biography		



# List of Tables

Page
------

Table 3.1	List of Zingiberace species collected in Thailand during May 2015 and August 2016	17
Table 4.1	Chromosome number of <i>Alpinia</i> compared with records by other authors	25
Table 4.2	Chromosome number of <i>Amomum</i> compared with records by other authors	27
Table 4.3	Chromosome number of <i>Elettariopsis</i> compared with records by other authors	28
Table 4.4	Chromosome number of <i>Kaempferia</i> compared with records by other authors	29
Table 4.5	Chromosome number of Zingiber compared with records by other authors	30
Table 4.6	Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of <i>Alpinia galanga</i> (No.1), $2n$ (diploid) = 48.	34
Table 4.7	Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of <i>Alpinia galanga</i> (No.2), $2n$ (diploid) = 48.	36
Table 4.8	Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of <i>Alpinia siamensis</i> , 2n (diploid) = 48.	38



## List of Tables (continued)

vii

#### Page

- **Table 4.9**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Alpinia zerumbet*, 2n<br/>(diploid) = 48.40
- **Table 4.10**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of Amomum biflorum,<br/>2n (diploid) = 48.42
- **Table 4.11**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of Amonum schmidtii,<br/>2n (diploid) = 48.44
- **Table 4.12**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Elettariopsis biphylla*,<br/>2n (diploid) = 48.46
- **Table 4.13**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Elettariopsis triloba*,<br/>2n (diploid) = 48.
- **Table 4.14**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia*<br/>*angustifolia*, 2n (diploid) = 22
- **Table 4.15**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia*<br/>grandifolia, 2n (diploid) = 48.52



## List of Tables (continued)

viii

- **Table 4.16**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and R1 from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia*<br/>*marginata*, 2n (diploid) = 42.54
- **Table 4.17**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia rotunda*,<br/>2n (diploid) = 30.56
- **Table 4.18**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingber montanum*.<br/>2n (diploid) = 22.
- **Table 4.19**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingiber mekongense*.<br/>2n (diploid) = 22.60
- **Table 4.20**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingiber officinale*,<br/>2n (diploid) = 22.62
- **Table 4.21**Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm<br/>chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative<br/>length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD)<br/>of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingiber ottensii*, 2n<br/>(diploid) = 22.64



#### **List of Figures**

#### Page

Figure 3.1 Showed all species were collected from Zingiberaceae in 18 Thailand (A) A. galanga (No.1), (B) A. galanga (No.2), (C) A. siamensis, (D) A. zerumbet, (E) Amomum biflorum, (F) Amomum schmidtii, (G) E. biphylla, and (H) E. triloba respectively. Figure 3.2 Showed all species were collected from Zingiberaceae in 19 Thailand (I) K. angustifolia, (J) K. grandifolia, (K) K. marginata, (L) K. rotunda, (M) Z. montanum, (N) Z. mekongensis, (O) Z. officinale, (P) Z. ottensii, respectively. Figure 4.1 Somatic metaphase chromosomes of 16 species from 32 Zingiberaceae. (A) A. galanga (No.1), (B) A. galanga (No.2), (C) A. siamensis, (D) A. zerumbet, (E) Amomum biflorum, (F) Amomum schmidtii, (G) E. biphylla, (H) E. triloba, (I) K. angustifolia, (J) K. grandifolia, (K) K. marginata, (L) K. rotunda, (M) Z. montanum, (N) Z. mekongensis, (O) Z. officinale and (P) Z. ottensii, respectively. Scale bars represent 5 μm. Figure 4.2 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Alpinia galanga* (No.1) 33 Figure 4.3 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Alpinia galanga* (No.2) 35 Figure 4.4 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Alpinia siamensis*. 37 Figure 4.5 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Alpinia zerumbet*. 39 Figure 4.6 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Amomum biflorum. 41 43 Figure 4.7 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Amomum schmidtii. Figure 4.8 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Elettariopsis biphylla*. 45 Figure 4.9 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Elettariopsis triloba*. 47 49 Figure 4.10 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Kaempferia angustifolia*. Figure 4.11 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Kaempferia grandifolia* 51

Mahasarakham University

# List of Figures (continued)

Figure 4.12	Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of <i>Kaempferia marginata</i> .	53
Figure 4.13	Karyotype (A), and idiogram (B) of Kaempferia rotunda.	55
Figure 4.14	Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber montanum	57
Figure 4.15	Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber mekongense.	59
Figure 4.16	Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber officinale.	61
Figure 4.17	Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber ottensii.	63

# CHAPTER 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background and rationale of the study

Zingiberaceae (ginger family) is the largest of the eight families of the order (Kress, 1990). The members are distributed mainly in tropics and subtropics with the centre of distribution in the Indo-Malayan region, but extending through tropical Africa to central and South America. Zingiberaceae species have been well known as spices, medicinal and condiments such as genera *Alpinia, Curcuma* and *Zingiber*. In addition, several species are used as ornamental plants, for example, (*Alpinia purpurata* (Vieill) Schum.), (*Curcuma alismatifolia* Gagnep) and (*Etlingera elatior* (Jack) R. M. Smith.).

Peterson (1889) classification of the family, amended by others over time, recognized four tribes including Globbeae, Alpinieae, Hedychieae and Zingibereae base on morphological characters, such as the number of locues and placentation in the ovary, staminoidia development, modifications of the single fertile anther, foliar orientation. According to recent studies as well as the literature, Kress at al. (2002) proposed classification of the family Zingiberaceae based on DNA data which is recognized four subfamily and six tribes: Zingibereae, Globbeae, Alpinieae, Riedeae, Tamijioideae and Siphnochiloideae. The later accepted classification of the Zingiberaceae (Larsen and Larsen, 2006) includes 52 genera and four subfamilies, namely Alpinioideae, Tamijioideae, Siphonochiloideae and Zingiberoideae. The current studies, Kress et al. (2014) reported the Zingiberaceae approximately 53 genera and over 1,200 specie distributed in all tropical regions of the world, with highest concentration in Southeast Asia. Only one genus of genus of zingiberaceae, Renealmia, occurs in Tropical America, and was found in Africa. Three are endemic to Africa (Aframomum, Autotandra and Siphonochilus) and the remainder range from east Asia to the South Pacific.

Thailand is one of the richest countries for Zingiberaceae in the world. Since Larsen (1980) made annotated key to the 29 genera of the family Zingiberaceae for Thailand, the members within the family have been carried on for finish them both in genera and species. In the present at least 300 species from 26 genera in 3 tribes *Alpinieae*, *Zingibereae* and *Globbeae* of Zingiberaceae are found throughout Thailand,

however, the number of species will most certainly rise (Larsen and Larsen, 2006). Many workers are studied family Zingiberaceae such as Sirirugsa (1989, 1992a, 1992b), Theilade (1999), Saensouk and Larsen (2001), Saensouk and Saensouk. (2014), Khamtang *et al.* (2014).

Cytological knowledge of the Zingiberaceae is poor in a few genera have been studied for their chromosome numbers. Many workers are studied family Zingiberaceae such as (Eksomtramage and Boontum, 1995); (Theilade, 1999); (Saensouk and Jenjittikul, 2001); (Saensouk and Larsen, 2001); (Eksomtramage *et al.*, 2002); (Sirisawad *et al.*, 2003); (Saensouk and Chantaranothai, 2003); (Saensouk and Saensouk, 2004); (Khamtang *et al.*, 2014); (Saensouk and Saensouk 2014); (Bhadra and Bandyopadhyay, 2016).

The objective of this study were to the chromosome number and analyses the karyotype characteristics of Ginger family from Thailand in order to support species identification and their relationships. Of the data acquired to support the classification type and including notes on chromosome Atlas of plants found in Thailand.

### **1.2 Research question and hypothesis**

The results of this study can be applied to develop knowledge in the research of this plant group.

#### 1.3 Objectives of the study

1.3.1 To study fundamental standardized number of chromosome arm and standardized karyotype of some species Zingiberaceae found in Thailand.

1.3.2 To study fundamental standardized chromosome number, karyotype and idiogram for classification in Zingiberaceae.

1.3.3 To study fundamental standardized idiogram of Zingiberaceae in Thailand.

1.3.4 To study fundamental standardized systematic of Zingiberaceae based on chromosome morphology and fundamental number.



# **1.4 Conceptual framework**

The study between January 2015 and December 2016, living specimens from various locations in Thailand were collecting and preserving. Information on chromosome number, karyomorphological and idiogram of at least 16 species Zingiberaceae in Thailand were provided for support to plant cytotaxonomy.



# **CHAPTER 2**

# **Literature Review**

#### 2.1 Systematic position of Zingiberaceae Family

The pantropical Zingiberaceae is the largest family in the order Zingiberales with approximately 53 genera and over 1,200 species distributed in all tropical regions of the world, with highest concentration in Southeast Asia, where most species are distributed; four genera (*Aframomum, Aulotandra, Renealmia,* and *Siphonochilus*) occur in Africa and the genus *Renealmia* is the sole member that is native to South America. (Kress *et al.,* 2014). Classifications of the family first proposed in 1889 and refined by others since that time recognize four tribes (Globbeae, Hedychieae, Alpinieae, and Zingibereae) (Kress *et al.,* 2002). The systematic position of the family Zingiberaceae is as follows:

Kingdom: Plantae

Division: Spermatophyta Subdivision: Angiospermae Class: Monocotyledonae Order: Zingiberales Family: Zingiberaceae

Members of the Zingiberaceae family are small to large herbaceous plants with distichous leaves with basal sheaths that overlap to form a pseudostem. The plants are either self-supporting or epiphytic. Flowers are hermaphroditic, usually strongly zygomorphic, in determinate cymose inflorescences and subtended by conspicuous, spirally arranged bracts. The perianth is composed of two whorls, a fused tubular calyx, and a tubular corolla with one lobe larger than the other two. Flowers typically have two of their stamenoids fused to form a petaloid lip, and have only one fertile stamen. The ovary is inferior and topped by two nectaries, the stigma as funnel-shaped. The fruit is a loculicidal capsule or is berrylike.



The members of this family are rhizomatous plants whose leaves form a pseudostem. They produce a terminal inflorescence, either on a leaf-shoot or on a separate shoot growing directly from the rhizome near the base of the leaf-shoot. The inflorescence comprises an axis which bears spirally arranged cincinni supported by primary bracts; in some groups the cincinni are reduced to a single flower (Holttum 1950). The zygomorphic flowers usually possess only one fertile stamen. The main identifying character is the labellum, formed by the fusion of two lateral staminodes of the inner whorl.

Most Zingiberaceae are evergreen (especially members of the Alpinoideae subfamily), as they are distributed in the warm tropical forests. Some, mostly representatives of Zingiberoideae, survive periods of drought in dormant state (Kress *et al.*, 2002). The rhizome of these species (such as *Curcuma*, *Zingiber*) is usually fleshy and adapted as a resting organ; they are therefore able to adapt to a drier climate, although none are truly xerophytic (Holttum, 1950). Epiphytic species are also present, though rarely, for example in the genus *Burbidgea* Hook. f.

In 1999, Sakai *et al.* observed the pollinators of 29 species of Bornean Zingiberaceae and *Costaceae* and discovered three pollination guilds: one was pollinated by spiderhunters (Nectariniidae), one by medium-sized *Amegilla* bees (Anthophoridae), and one by small hallictid bees. These guilds correlated with the flower morphology, especially in the formation of the floral tube and labellum (Sakai *et al.*, 1999).

Zingiberaceae contain aromatic oils and are thus often used as spices or in traditional medicines, such as the commercially grown ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) or the green cardamom (*Elettaria cardamomum* (L.) Maton). As their flowers are often large and brightly coloured, they are also grown as ornamental plants (such as species of *Etlingera* Giseke).

The Zingiberaceae are a difficult and still largely unresolved group. The main (and perhaps only) morphological character by which they can be securely distinguished is theflower, and until the development of morphological analyses the taxonomy of Zingiberaceae had been largely based on their flower morphology. The most recent monography of Zingiberaceae remains that written by Schumann in 1904. Zingiberaceae have been intensively researched during the past few decades (Holttum, 1950, Kress *et al.*, 2001, Kress *et al.*, 2002) and the research is continuing with the use of new methods in botany such as next-generation sequencing, while new species are still being discovered in the area of dispersal.

In 2002, Kress *et al.* divided Zingiberaceae into four subfamilies, Siphonochileae, Tamijioideae, Alpinoideae and Zingiberoideae on the basis of the ITS and *mat*K regions and morphological data. The subfamily Alpinioideae, which *Elettariopsis* is a member of, is characterised by the absence or reduction of the two lateral staminodes, a plane of distichy perpendicular to that of the growth of the rhizome, and the lack of a dormant period (Kress *et al.*, 2002). It contains two tribes, Alpinieae and Riedeliae. The largest genus of Alpinioideae, *Alpinia* Roxb., is polyphyletic (Rangsiruji *et al.*, 2000), and so are the remaining two large genera, *Amomum* Roxb. (Kress *et al.*, 2002) and *Etlingera* Giseke (Pedersen, 2004).

The Zingiberaceae form an important group with considerable economic potential, with plants such as *Aframomum, Amomum, Curcuma, Elettaria, Kaempferia* and *Zingiber*. Some genera yield essential oils used in the perfume industry including, *Alpinia* and *Hedychium*. Many gingers are cultivated as ornamental and economically important spice plants, including the *Alpinia zerumbet*, *Curcuma alismatifolia*, *Globba*, *Hedychium Kaempferia*, *Nicolaia*, *Renealmia*, and *Zingiber*. They are important natural resources that provide many useful products for food, spices, medicines, dyes, perfume and aesthetics to man.

#### 2.2 Distribution and habitat specialization

Zingiberaceae are dispered over a wide belt of tropical and subtropical regions, primarily in tropical Asia, where the region's long history of a stable, damp, and hot climate together with its wide array of habitats probably favored the development and differentiation of these plants (Chen *et al.*, 1984). Within tropical Asia, the diversity-rich Malesian region, which includes Malaysia, Indonesia, Brunei, Singapore, Philippines, and Papua New Guinea, contains 24 genera and about 600 species (Larsen, 1996). The members of this family are distributed pantropically, with one genus (Renealmia) in the Neotropics, four (Aframomum, Aulotandra, Siphonochilus, and Renealmia) in Africa, and the rest distributed in East Asia and the Pacific islands (Kress *et al.*, 2002).



The distribution of the Asian genera into different zones in the tropics is distinguished by their various characteristics, which most likely are the result of evolutionary changes and their adaptation to several climatic, geographic, and topographic conditions. For example, the genus Roscoea is found in the Himalayan range and is therefore adapted to the harsh conditions and high elevations of those mountains. Thus, species of Roscoea have closed leaf sheaths, a reduced number of rhizomes, and long roots reaching deeply into the soil since the fleshy rhizomes cannot be accommodated on the surface of the rocky soil (Chen *et al.*, 1989). Similarly, Aframomum is the largest genus of the African Zingiberaceae appoximately 80 species, as well as the largest amonggenera representing the understory herbs of the African rain forest. Its range extends from Senegal to Ethiopia in the north and Angola to Madagascar in the south; it is also found on the Gulf of Guinea islands of São Tomé and Principe (Harris *et al.*, 2000).

#### 2.3 Mode of propagation

The biology of the Zingiberaceae is poorly known. With the exception of a few species, the predominant mode of reproduction in the Zingiberaceae is asexual and propagation is often through underground rhizomes. The plants have prostrate or tubular rhizomes that are sympodial and promote the formation and development of axillary buds. Sexual reproduction is largely diminished or even lost with increasing ploidy levels. Moreover, in some species, such as those of the genus *Globba*, the flowers are often replaced by bulbils (Chen 1989). Due to their vegetative mode of reproduction, crop improvement in zingiberaceous plants is confined to clonal selection, mutation breeding, and the induction of polyploidy (Ravinderan *et al.*, 2005).

### 2.4 Medicinal gingers

Zingiberaceae forms an important group with economic potential and many members of this family yield spices, dyes, perfumes and medicines and some are ornamental. Many of them are used in ayurvedic and other native systems of medicine

Turmeric is known as 'golden spice' as well as 'spice of life' and has been used in India as a medicinal plant and has strong association with sociocultural life (Jain and Prakash, 1995; Ravindran, 2007). The word ginger truly refers to the edible ginger of commerce known botanically as *Zingiber officinale* Roscoe. Ginger is also the common term for members of the ginger family (Larsen *et al.*, 1999; Sabu and Skinner, 2005).

The presence of essential oils such as limonene, eugenol, pinene, geraniol, etc. in many Zingiberaceae species has made some of them important since the time of ancient Greeks (Larsen *et al.*, 1999). The rhizomes of many of them are dietary agents and are also used in traditional medicine (Chirangini *et al.*, 2004).

*Alpinia calcarata* Roscoe is a native of India, the rhizomes of which with sharp odour and pleasant taste are used in the form of infusion for fever, rheumatism and catarrhal affections. The rhizomes also form a major ingredient of several ayurvedic preparations (Kirtikar and Basu, 1975).

*Alpinia galanga* (L) Sw. is known as greater galangal and is a perennial rhizomatous herb. The rhizome is antibacterial, antirheumatic, antidiabetic, aphrodisiac and carminative (Chatterjee and Pakrashi, 2001; Sabu, 2006). Khare (2007) has reported antispasmodic action for the oils of the species. He has also indicated the antiulcer activity of its roots which has been attributed to the antisecretory and cytoprotective properties of the plant.

*Alpinia officinarum* Hance. is a native of South China and the plant is a perennial herb with a raceme of showy flowers and ornamental foliage. This is the lesser galangal and the rhizome possesses aromatic spicy odour and pungent taste, like a mixture of pepper and ginger. It is used in cooking, in medicine, for flavouring liquors and to impart a pungent flavour to vinegar (Pandey, 2001). *Alpinia speciosa* (Wendl.) K. Schum is the light galangal. Rhizomes are antiulcerative and spasmolytic (Khare, 2007).

*Amomum subulatum* Roxb. is known as Nepal cardamom and the dried leathery fruits resemble cardamom in aroma and flavour. In medicine it is used to correct digestive disorders and as an antivomiting drug. Large cardamom is used as preventive as well as curative for throat troubles, congestion of lungs, inflammation of eye lids, digestive disorders (Chatterjee and Pakrashi, 2001; Sabu, 2006).

*Curcuma aeruginosa* Roxb. is used for the extraction of East Indian arrow root or Travancore starch. It is used as a medicine for stomach disorders. The rhizome is aromatic with a blue colour in the centre which is variable depending on the nature of the soil and age of the rhizome. The rhizome is used for fever, diarrhoea, swellings and skin diseases and its oil is antibacterial, antifungal and antihelmintic (Sabu, 2006; Khare, 2007).

*Curcuma amada* Roxb. is known as mango ginger. The rhizome is regarded as cooling and carminative and is useful in prurigo. The rhizomes are used externally in the form of paste as an application for bruises and skin diseases and combined with other medicines it is useful in improving quality of blood. The paste is applied externally to bruises, sprains, contusions, rheumatic pains and black eye (Khory and Katrak, 1999).

*Curcuma anguistifolia* Roxb. is called East Indian arrow root. The rhizome is used as a source of arrow root which is largely manufactured and exported in Malabar. The tubers are used for the extraction of starch. It forms an important food of tribal people in the area. It is cooling, demulcent and nutritious and is used in dysentery, dysuria, typhoid, fevers and ulcerations (Nadkarni, 2005; Skornickova *et al.*, 2007).

*Curcuma aromatica* Salisb. is known as wild turmeric or Cochin turmeric. Rhizomes are bitter, carminative, appetizer and tonic, and are used in combinations with astringents and aromatics for bruises, sprains, bronchitis, cough, leucoderma and skin eruptions. Dried rhizome is used as an aromatic adjunct to other medicines used in skin diseases and impurities of blood. Boiled in oil, it is applied externally as an application to sprains and bruises (Warrier *et al.*, 1994; Joshi, 2000).

*Curcuma caesia* Roxb. is a species with blue rhizome and is commonly called black turmeric. The leaf has a deep violet patch which runs throughout the lamina. Rhizome is aromatic, carminative and stimulant and a paste made from the rhizome is used to cure dysentery and as poultice in rheumatic pain, sprains and bruises (Chatterjee and Pakrashi, 2001; Ravindran, 2007).

*Elettaria cardamomum* (L) Maton is a perennial herb with thick horizontal root stock. It is known as the queen of spices. The seeds are used as condiment and medicinally. Cardamom has stimulant properties and is used as a spice and masticator. Seeds are useful in asthma, bronchitis, cardiac disorders, anorexia, dyspepsia, gastropathy, debility and vitiated condition of *vata*. Cardamom oil is used in several pharmaceutical preparations (Fischer, 1928; Warrier *et al.*, 1994; Joshi, 2000).

*Hedychium coronarium* Koenig, is a stout perennial herb commonly grown as ornamental. The rhizome is considered antirheumatic and excitant. The rhizome and leaf paste are applied for headache. The essential oil from rhizome shows anthelmintic and mild tranquilizing property. Among the tribal inhabitants of Orissa, a paste from the essential flowers and black pepper is taken orally, used for dysuria (Parrotta, 2001; Khare, 2007).

*Hedychium spicatum* Ham ex Smith, the spiked ginger lily and is a rhizomatous perennial tuberous herb. Rhizome is used in dyspepsia and as carminative, stimulant, stomachic and tonic (Joshi, 2000; Chatterjee and Pakrashi, 2001; Sabu, 2006).

*Kaempferia galanga* L. rhizome is stomachic and anti-inflammatory. In the form of powder or ointment it is applied to wounds and bruises to reduce swellings. They improve complexion and cure burning sensations, mental disorders and insomnia. Decoction of rhizome is used for dyspepsia, head ache and malaria. Roasted rhizomes are applied hot in rheumatism and for hastening the ripening of inflammatory tumors. Leaves are used in lotions and poultices for sore eyes, sore throat, swellings, rheumatism and fevers. The tuber powdered and mixed with honey is prescribed for coughs, asthma and pectoral affections (Joshi, 2000; Nadkarni, 2005).

*Kaempferia rotunda* L. is an erect herb with tuberous rhizomes. The whole plant is useful in the form of powder or ointment as an application to wounds and bruises to reduce swellings. The powder extracted from *Kaempferia rotunda* is made into ointment and is used for healing fresh wounds. It is taken internally to remove coagulated blood or purulent matter and is used in many ayurvedic preparations. The tubers are useful in vitiated condition of *vata* and *kapha*, gastropathy, dropsy, inflammations, wounds, ulcers, blood clots, tumours and cancerous swellings (Warrier *et al.*, 1995; Singh and Panda, 2005; Sabu, 2006).

Zingiber mioga Roscoe, the Myoga ginger or Japanese ginger is a perennial woodland species, endemic to Japan. There it is used as a substitute for true ginger. In Chinese Pharmacopoeia, myoga ginger is suggested to treat fever and also as a vermifuge (Sabu and Skinner, 2005).

Zingiber montanum (K.D.Koenig) Link ex Dietr., the wild ginger or forest ginger is native to India. The rhizome is used in diabetes. The tribals use the rhizome as

a substitute for *Curcuma longa* and is an ingredient of many traditional medicines (Sabu and Skinner, 2005; Sabu, 2006).

Zingiber officinale Roscoe is a perennial herb and is one of the reputed drugs employed in indigenous systems of medicine. Both fresh rhizome and dried rhizome are used in medicine (Sivarajan and Balachandran, 1994). In ancient India, ginger was not significant as a spice, but it was called *mahabheshaj* or *mahaoushadhi* meaning the great cure and used in medicine (Ravindran and Nirmal Babu, 2005). The rhizome possesses stimulant, aromatic and carminative properties when taken internally and when chewed it acts as a sialagogue. Externally it is applied as rubefacient. It is anti rheumatic, carminative, diuretic and aphrodisiac. It promotes digestive power, cleans the throat and tongue, dispels cardiac disorders and cures vomiting (Sivarajan and Balachandran, 1994; Joshi, 2000).

*Zingiber spectabilis* Griff., known as bee hive ginger due to the peculiar shape of the spike, is widely used in Malayan traditional medicine (Burkill and Haniff, 1930).

Zingiber zerumbet (L) Smith, a native of tropical Asia is known as shampoo ginger or pine cone ginger. The inflorescence releases a thick juice when squeezed and is used to make Paul Mitchel and Freeman's shampoo (Sabu and Skinner, 2005). Rhizome is useful in colic, head ache, haemorrhoids, respiratory disorders, cough, asthma, leprosy and skin diseases (Chatterjee and Pakrashi, 2001; Khare, 2007).

#### 2.5 The Zingiberaceae in Thailand

Thailand is one of the countries that contain a highest diversity of Zingiberaceae plants. 26 genera and more than 300 species were reported by Larsen and Larsen (2006). Zingiberaceae plants mostly grow in damp and humid shady places, and also found from the lowlands to the highest elevations in secondary and primary forests. There is a high number of Zingiberaceae species in Peninsular Thailand because the climate and landscape support the plant's growth. Several genera were found and investigated taxonomy such as *Alpinia* (Kittipanangkul and Ngamriabsakul, 2008), *Amomum* (Kaewsri *et al.*, 2009), *Boesenbergia* (Sirirugsa, 1987), *Curcuma* (Maknoi *et al.*, 2005), *Cornukaempferia* (Mood and Larsen, 1997; Mood and Larsen, 1999), *Caulokaempferia* (Ngamriabsaku, 2008), *Etlingera* (Chongkraijak, 2013), *Elettariopsis* (Saensouk and Saensouk., 2014), *Globba* (Larsen, 1972; Picheansoonthon and



Tiyaworanant, 2010), *Geostachys* (Mayoe, 2010), *Hedychium* (Sirirrugsa and Larsen, 1995), *Hemiorchis* (Larsen and Triboun, 2001), *Kaempferia* (Larsen, 1962), *Smithatris* (Kress and Larsen, 2001) and *Zingiber* (Theilade, 1999; Mood and Theilade (2002).

Larsen (1980) first published the annotated key to the genera of Zingiberaceae of Thailand in Natural History Bulletin of Siam Society.

Sirirugsa (1987) described three new species and one combination of *Boesenbergia* in Thailand, i.e. *B. acuminata* Sirirugsa, *B. basispicata* K. Larsen ex Sirirugsa, *B. petiolata* Sirirugsa and *B. siamensis* (Gagnep.) Sirirugsa.

Sirirugsa (1992a) revised genus *Boesenbergia* in Thailand. Thirteen species were recognized as followings, *Boesenbergia basispicata, B. prainiana, B. curtisii, B. petiolata, B. xiphostachya, B. thorelii, B. longipes, B. siamensis, B. rotunda, B. plicata, B. acuminata, B. parvula* and *B. pulcherrima*.

Sirirugsa (1992b) revised genus *Kaempferia* in Thailand. Fifteen species were recognized, including 3 new species of *Kaempferia*, such as *Kaempferia larsenii* Sirirugsa, *K. siamensis* Sirirugsa and *K. spoliata* Sirirugsa.

Larsen (1996) reported about 200 species in 21 genera in "A Preliminary Checklist of Zingiberaceae of Thailand". Consist of *Alpinia* (12 species), *Amomum* (15 species), *Boesenbergia* (15 species), *Caulokaempferia* (5 species), *Cautleya* (1 species), *Curcuma* (34 species), *Curcumorpha* (1 species), *Elettaria* (1 species), *Elettariopsis* (3 species), *Etlingera* (5 species), *Gagnepainia* (2 species), *Geostachys* (3 species), *Globba* (34 species), *Haniffia* (3 species), *Hedychium* (25 species), *Hornstedtia* (1 species), *Kaempferia* (15 species), *Pommereschea* (1 species), *Scaphoclamys* (3 species), *Stahlianthus* (3 species) and *Zingiber* (25 species). The numbers in parentheses are the number of species in each genus.

Theilade (1999) published the synopsis of the genus *Zingiber* of Thailand, with 26 species were recognized. Six species are endemic to the Malay Peninsula, including *Zingiber petiolatum*, *Z. puberulum* var. *ovoideum*, *Z. spectabile*, *Z. wrayii*, *Z. peninsulare* and *Z. newmanii*. Four species are cultivated throughout Thailand, for example *Zingiber officinale*, *Z. montanum*, *Z. ottensii* and *Z. zerumbet*.

Eksomtramage *et al.* (2001) reported tribe Alpinieae, genus *Geostachys*, including *Pommereschea* and *Siamanthus*, and tribe Zingibereae, genus *Boesenbergia*,

*Caulokaempferia, Cornukaempferia, Kaempferia* and *Scaphochlamys* in Thailand. The result showed 46 species were recognized in both tribes.

Eksomtramage *et al.* (2002) reported 11 species that were new records from Southern Thailand in Natural History Bulletin of Siam Society, i.e. *Boesenbergia flava* (Ridl.) Holtt., *Camptandra parvula* (King ex Bak.) Ridl.

Kharukanant and Tohdam (2003) published a new species, *Boesenbergia regalis*, B. Kharuk. & S. Tohdam from the Halabala Forest Reserve in Southern Thailand.

Larsen (2003) reported about 290 species in 25 genera of Zingiberaceae in Thailand.

Saensouk *et al.* (2003) published notes on the genus *Alpinia* in Thailand. Two new records from Thailand, *Alpinia scabra* (Blume) Baker and *A. blepharocalyx* K. Schum. with two varieties were reported. 21 species were recognized including three introduced species. A new species, *Alpinia peninsularis* was described from southern Thailand.

In 2004, Picheansoonthon and Mokkamul found a new species, *Caulokaempferia khaomaenensis* Picheansoonthon and Mokkamul, from Khao Maen, Khao Luang National Parks.

Picheansoonthon and Mokkamul (2005) reported two new species, *Hedychium thaianum* Mokkamul and Picheansoonthon and *H. khaomaenense* Picheansoonthon and Mokkamul, from Chiang Mai and Nakhon Si Thammarat, respectively.

Picheansoonthon and Koonterm (2008) reported the genus *Kaempferia* L. (Zingiberaceae) is one of the important medicinal plant genera in Thailand. As a result of herbarium investigation and intensive field studies, 16 taxa are enumerated for Thailand in this account. Distinguishable morphological characteristics of these species are given. Photographic illustrations of some key species are included. The ethnobotany of the genus *Kaempferia* in Thailand is also discussed in four main aspects: food, medicine, belief, and horticulture.

Triboun *et al.* (2002) studied biogeography and biodiversity of the genus *Zingiber* in Thailand, 51 species were recognized. Eleven species were new records to Thailand. Eighteen species are endemic.

Larsen and Larsen (2006) reported about 300 species in 26 genera of Thailand Zingiberaceae.

Kittipanangkul and Ngamriabsakul (2008) reported Zingiberaceae diversity in Khao Nan and Khao Luang National Parks was determined from September 2006 -August 2007. 29 species in 11 genera in three tribes of the family were collected from nine stations, less than 30 % of the Zingiberaceae recorded in southern Thailand. Tribe Alpinieae, the highest diversity, comprises five genera and 15 species. Tribe Zingibereae, the second highest diversity, includes five genera and 12 species. The lowest diversity, tribe Globbeae consists of only two species in the genus *Globba*.

Chen *et al.* (2015). Reported *Curcuma woodii*, a new species of *Curcuma* subg. *Ecomata* (Zingiberaceae) from Thailand is described and illustrated here. It differs from *C. rhomba* by the leaf blades abaxially pubescent, the bracts whitish green, the labellum white with orange bands at the center, the lateral staminodes white with orange dots at the apex, and the ovary nearly glabrous.

Saensouk *et al.* (2016). Three tribes, 12 genera and 38 species of the ginger family (Zingiberaceae) along five routes in Nam Nao National Park were surveyed between January 2012 and December 2013.

### 2.6 Chromosome numbers and idiogram of the Zingiberaceae family

Cytotaxonomy is a branch of cytogenetics, devoted to the comparative study of karyological features for systematic and evolutionary purposes (Siljak-Yakovlev and Peruzzi 2012). Today, a number of data can be obtained by chromosome studies: chromosome number, karyotype structure, karyotype asymmetry, chromosome banding, FISH, GISH and chromosome painting (Stace, 2000; Levin, 2002; Graphodatsky *et al.*, 2011; Guerra, 2012). Among them, one of the most popular, cheap and widely used approaches especially by botanists is that concerning karyotype asymmetry.

Chromosomes within a taxon (e.g. family, genus or even species) may vary concerning their number (including ploidy level and aneuploidy) but may also show variation in absolute and relative chromosome size, in chromosome morphology, and in staining properties (Sharma and Sen, 2002). Morphological characters of chromosomes are mostly due to the position of the centromere (Levan *et al.*, 1964). The description of chromosome morphology is a powerful method to characterize genomes in plants and in

animals as well. Karyological data are essential information and provide important characters for plant systematics and evolutionary anal-ysis (Stace, 2000).

Chromosome numbers of Thai Zingiberaceae were previously reported by several authors, namely: Mahanty (1970), Larsen (1972), (Beltran and Kiew,1984), Sirisawad and Apavatjrut (1995), Eksomtramage and Boontum (1995), Eksomtramage *et al.* (1996; 2001), Weerapukdee and Krasaechai (1997) and Saensouk (2000). (Saensouk and Larsen, 2001); (Eksomtramage *et al.*, 2002) (Sirisawad *et al.*, 2002); (Saensouk and Chantaranothai,2003); (Saensouk and Saensouk, 2004); (Khamtang *et al.*, 2014); (Saensouk and Saensouk, 2014). Moreover, several other studies have implicated this below:

Eksomtramage *et al.* (2002) The data shown on chromosome numbers of 22 species belonging to 10 genera of Thailand Zingiberaceae were investigated. The somatic numbers range from 20 to 48 showing diploidy and polyploidy. Ten of these species were firstly reported here, i.e. *Alpinia purpurata* (Vielli) K. Schum. (2n = 48), *Boesenbergia* aff. *rotunda* (2n = 20), *Cornukaempferia aurantiflora* J. Mood & K. Larsen (2n = 46), *Curcuma* aff. *oligantha* Trimen (2n = 42), *C. rhabdota* Sirirugsa & M.F. Newman (2n = 24), *Etlingera elatior* (Jack.) R.M. Smith (white form) (2n = 48), *E. hemisphaerica* (Bl.) R.M. Smith (2n = 48), *Hedychium gomezianum* Wall. (2n = 34), *H. longicornutum* Bak. (2n = 34) and *Zingiber* aff. *wrayi* (2n = 22)

Ngamriabsakul (2004). The results shown chromosome counts of *Roscoea alpina* Royle, *R. auriculata* K. Schum., *R. purpurea* Sm. and *Cautleya spicata* (Sm.) Baker are presented. Two species: *R. auriculata*, *R. purpurea* confirm the widely reported number of 2n = 24. However, *both R. alpina* and *C. spicata* have chromosome number as 2n = 26.

Nair and Sasikumar (2009) reported chromosome numbers in 22 germplasm collections and 28 open-pollinated seedling progenies of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) were determined by counting the chromosomes of somatic metaphase plates. Among the germplasm collections analyzed 20 have 2n = 63, the accepted chromosome number of turmeric and 1 collection was 2n = 61 and another 1 was 2n = 84. The seedling progenies showed various chromosome numbers ranging from 2n = 63 to 2n = 86, of which 2n = 84 was the most frequently. The role of abnormalities during triploid

chromosomes segregation in generating chromosome number variation among open pollinated seedling progenies is discussed.

Chen and Xia (2013). studied chromosome numbers of 15 populations representing 11 species of *Curcuma* from China. Results showed that only *Curcuma flaviflora* S. Q. Tong was diploid with 2n = 42 and *C. kwangsiensis* S. G. Lee & C. F. Liang was tetraploid with 2n = 84. The other species were triploid (2n = 63). The study indicated that the basic chromosome number of *Curcuma* from China could be x = 21. which prevented karyotype analysis.

Bhadra and Bandyopadhyay (2015). The karyological investigations of four economically and medicinally important Indian species of Zingiberaceae belonging to the genera *Curcuma* and *Zingiber* were performed. The somatic chromosome number of *Curcuma amada* was 2n = 42 and that of *Curcuma longa* was 2n = 63, while the chromosome numbers of both *Zingiber officinale* and *Zingiber zerumbet* were 2n = 22.

Bhadra and Bandyopadhyay (2016). Describe the new chromosome counts and karyomorphological details for the three important members of the Zingiberaceae family. Root tip cells of plants of *Alpinia zerumbet* (2n = 40) and *Globba marantina* showed 2n = 52 chromosomes, both being aneuploids, *Hedychium spicatum* were found to be tetraploid with somatic chromosome number of 2n = 68.



# CHAPTER 3 Methodology

### **3.1 Plant collection and names**

In total, 16 species belonging to five genera namely, *Alpinia, Amomum, Elettariopsis, Kaempferia* and *Zingiber* were studied in Table 1. Living specimens of Zingiberaceous plants were collected from various locations in Thai forests then transplanted into pots at Mahasarakham University Nursery. Actively growing root tips were used for mitotic studies and apical root tips at about 1 to 2 cm in length were used for chromosome counts. The root tips were harvested at different times throughout the day, between May 2015 and August 2016.

No.	Genera	Botanical Name	Locality (Province)
1	Alpinia	Alpinia galanga (L.) Willd. (No.1)	Sakon Nakhon
2		Alpinia galanga (L.) Willd. (No.2)	Chaiyaphum
3		Alpinia siamensis K. Schum.	Roi Et
4		Alpinia zerumbet (Pers.) B.L.Burtt & R.M.Sm.	Loei
5	Amomum	Amomum biflorum Jack	Phetchaburi
6		Amomum schmidtii (K. Schum.) Gagnep.	Ubon Ratchathani
7	Elettariopsis	<i>Elettariopsis biphylla</i> S.Saensouk & P.Saensouk, <i>sp. nov.</i>	Bueng Kan
8		Elettariopsis triloba (Gagnep.) Loes.	Loei
9	Kaempferia	Kaempferia angustifolia Roscoe	Chaiyaphum
10		Kaempferia grandifolia Saensouk & Jenjitt.	Khon Kaen
11		Kaempferia marginata Carey ex Roscoe	Maha Sarakham
12		Kaempferia rotunda L.	Khon Kaen
13	Zingiber	Zingiber montanum (J. Koenig) Link ex A.Dietr.	Khon Kaen
14		Zingiber mekongense Gagnep.	Phetchabun
15		Zingiber officinale Roscoe.	Khon Kaen
16		Zingiber ottensii Valeton.	Prachuap Khiri Khan

**Note:** No.1 = Smooth leaf surface; No.2 = Hairy leaf surfaces.





**Figure 3.1** Showed all species were collected from Zingiberaceae in Thailand:(A) *A.* galanga (No.1), (B) *A. galanga* (No.2), (C) *A. siamensis*, (D) *A. zerumbet*, (E) *Amomum* biflorum, (F) *Amomum schmidtii*, (G) *E. biphylla*, and (H) *E. triloba* respectively.



Figure 3.2 Showed all species were collected from Zingiberaceae in Thailand: (I) *K. angustifolia*, (J) *K. grandifolia*, (K) *K. marginata*, (L) *K. rotunda*, (M) *Z. montanum*, (N) *Z. mekongensis*, (O) *Z. officinale*, (P) *Z. ottensii*, respectively.

## 3.2 Methods

3.2.1 Mitotic analysis

Actively growing root tips were pretreated in a saturated paradichlorobenzens (PDB) solution for 4 hours at 4°C, fixed in Carnoy's solution (3 parts 95% ethanol and 1 part glacial acetic acid) for 30 minutes at 4°C, and stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C. Fixed cells fragments were hydrolyzed with 1 N HCl for 5 minutes at 60°C and stained with 2% aceto-orcein for 5 minutes. Slides were prepared using the squash technique. The well spread metaphase plates of 10 cells in each species were identified using an Olympus model CH30 at 100x magnification and were then photographed using a digital camera (Zeiss Axiostar Plus).



3.2.2 Karyotype analysis

Chromosome counting was performed on mitotic metaphase cells under a light microscope. Twenty cells each of male and female with clearly observable and well-spread chromosomes were selected and photographed. The length of short arm (Ls) and the length of long arm of chromosome (Ll) were measured to calculate the length of total arm chromosome (LT, LT = Ls + Ll). The relative length (RL), the centromeric index (CI), and the standard deviation (SD) of RL and CI were also computed to classify the types and size of chromosomes according to Turpin and Lejeune (1965). All parameters were used in karyotyping and idiograming

## 3.3 Location of research conducting

Thesis project were worked at:

- 3.3.1 Walai Rukhavej Botanical Research Institute, Mahasarakham University
- 3.3.2 Field trip in Thailand.

# **CHAPTER 4**

## **Results and Discussion**

#### 4.1 Chromosome numbers

The chromosome numbers of 16 species belonging to five genera of Zingiberaceae were found ranging from 2n = 22 to 2n = 48. Comparisons with previous studies by other authors shown in Table 4.1. Chromosome numbers showing difference in chromosome number, shape and size among the genera in Figure 4.1. For all genera are briefly described below.

#### 4.1.1 Alpinia

Alpinia was described by Roxburgh in 1810 (Wu and Larsen, 2000). the genus contains more than 300 species which may suggests that it is the biggest genus of the family Zingiberaceae. Most members of the genus have distribution ranges in subtropical and tropical rain forest of Asia, Australia and Pacific Islands (Wu and Larsen, 2000). Some members of the genus are well known for ethnomedicinal importance and food as spicy materials especially *A. nigra* (Gaertner) B.L. Burtt, *A. galanga* Willd., *A. conchigera* Griff., *A. siamensis* K. Schum., etc.

The somatic chromosome number of four *Alpinia* species were determined (Table 4.1). Among them, *A. galanga* (No.1), *A. galanga* (No.2), *A. siamensis* and *A. zerumbet* had the chromosome number 2n = 48 (Figures 4.1A-D), which agrees with the *Alpinia* group 2n = 4x = 48 as reported by Chen and Huang (1996). In addition, agrees with the values previous reported for other species in the genus *Alpinia*, (Raghavan and Ven, 1943; Venkatasbban, 1946; Sato, 1948, Ono and Masuda, 1981; Beltran and Kiew, 1984; Eksomtramage *et al.*, 2001; Saensouk and Chantaranothai, 2003). Obviously, these four species of *Alpinia* suggesting that are tetraploid and they were deduced to be x = 12 (Darlington and Wylie, 1945). Whereas, Joseph (1998) also reported a variant somatic chromosome number of *A. zerumbet* 2n = 40. Bhadra and Bandyopadhyay (2016) describe the new chromosome counts and karyomorphological details for the important plants of *A. zerumbet* showed 2n = 52. Thus, it might be concluded that this is a new cytotype of this species.

#### 4.1.2 *Amomum*

The genus *Amomum* comprises 150–180 species. They are widely distributed in Southeast Asia from the Himalayas to Northern Australia and extend into the central Pacific (Kam, 1982; Smith, 1985). Larsen (1996) listed 14 species of *Amomum* in his preliminary checklist of Zingiberaceae of Thailand. Sirirugsa (2001) estimated that there are around 15–20 species in Thailand.

The somatic chromosome number of two *Amomum* species were determined (Table 4.2). Chromosome number were studied: *A. biflorum* and *A. schmidtii* have the same number, 2n = 48. (Figures 4.1E-F). The same chromosome numbers were found of *A. biflorum* by Sharma and Bhattacharyya, (1959); Beltran and Kiew (1989); Chen and Huang (1996) and Eksomtramage *et al.* (2001). While, the first reported the somatic chromosome number of *A. schmidtii* in this study. Obviously, these two species of *Amomum* as 2n = 4x = 48, (n = 24). The most common cytotypes found in this species are tetraploid and they were to be x = 12 (Darlington and Wylie, 1945).

### 4.1.3 *Elettariopsis*

*Elettariopsis* is one of the less intensively researched genera in the Zingiberaceae, and the only genus in the subfamily Alpinioideae that is still lacking revision. It is a small genus counting around 36 recognized species (Newman *et al*, 2013), with more species still being discovered and published (Picheansoonthon and Yupparach, 2012; Saensouk and Saensouk, 2014).

The somatic chromosome number of two *Elettariopsis* species were determined (Table 4.3). The somatic number of *E. biphylla* and *E. triloba* is 2n = 48 (Figures 4.1G-H). The results correspond to the gametic number (n = 24) which is reported for Malayan (Beltran and Kiew, 1989; Chen and Huang, 1996) conclude that is tetraploid and they were to be x = 12 (Darlington and Wylie, 1945).

#### 4.1.4 Kaempferia

*Kaempferia* is a medium-sized genus in the ginger family Zingiberaceae. It comprises approximately 60 species distributed worldwide and about 35 accepted taxa have been recognized. The best-known example is *K. galanga* L. commonly known as kencur or aromatic ginger, or in Thai: proh horm or waan horm. It is one of the most

popular, aromatic medicinal plants used in the tropics and subtropics of Asia. The Indochinese Peninsula is regarded as the centre of distribution of this genus, especially in Laos, Vietnam, Myanmar, Thailand and Peninsular Malaysia (Larsen and Larsen,

2006). In Thailand, at least 28 *Kaempferia* species have been recorded (Sirirugsa, 1989, 1992; Saensouk and Jenjittikul, 2001; Picheansoonthon and Koonterm, 2009; Picheansoonthon, 2011;). Several rare and endemic species have been assigned conservation status in Thailand.

The somatic cells of root tips for Kaempferia of the four species are examined with a chromosome number ranging of 2n = 22 to 2n = 42 (Table 4.4). The somatic numbers of K. angustifolia and K. grandifolia are 2n = 22 (Figures 4.1I-J), in this study also indicates that the base chromosome number of *Kaempferia* is x = 11 (Darlington and Wylie, 1945). This result is in full agreement with previous reports (Ramachandran, 1969; Mahanty, 1970; Eksomtramage *et al.*, 2002). The somatic numbers of 2n = 30 and 42 found in K. rotunda and K. marginata, respectively which is disagreement with Nerle and Torne (1984); Chen et al. (1988); Omanakumari and Mathew (1991); Eksomtramage and Boontum (1995), who observed somatic numbers of K. rotunda 2n = 54, 44, 46, 33, respectively. In contrast, a previous study of K. marginata from Phu Laenkha National Park, Thailand Khamtang et al. (2014), observed a chromosome count of 2n = 44, while a different study by Eksomtramage and Boontum (1995) showed a chromosome count of 2n = 55. These chromosome numbers may have been the products of aneuploidy. Therefore, chromosome number variations in ploidy level within species in our plant material are detected and they were to be x = 9, 11, 12(Darlington and Wylie, 1945).

### 4.1.5 Zingiber

The genus *Zingiber* shows constant somatic chromosome number, and very few reports of variations exist. The somatic cells of root tips for *Zingiber* of the four species are examined with a chromosome number ranging of 2n = 22 (Table 4.5). Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943) reported the basic number of this genus to be x = 11, 12 which was confirmed by and overwhelming majority of further studies. Earlier reports on chromosomes of the species *Z. officinale* also shows the diploid number to be 2n = 22 (Sugiura 1928, 1931; Morinaga *et al.* 1929; Raghavan and Venkatasubban
1943; Chakraborti 1948; Darlington and Wylie 1955; Sharma and Bhattacharya 1959; Ramachandran 1969; Ratnambal 1979; Omanakumari and Mathew 1985; Goldblatt 1988; Rai et al. 1997; Das et al. 1998; Dhamayanthi and Zachariah 1998; Eksomtramage et al. 2002; Saensouk and Saensouk 2004; Sanpote 2004; Nayak et al. 2005; Joseph 2010). Other than this, variations in chromosome numbers were reported by some workers. The diploid number of 2n = 24 was reported by Kihara *et al.* (1931), Chakraborti (1948), Sharma and Bhattacharya (1959), and Dhamayanthi and Zachariah (1998), while Etikawati and Setyawan (2000) and Daryono et al. (2012) reported the diploid number of 2n = 32. Further variations were reported by Darlington and Janaki Ammal (1945) (2n = 22 + 2B), Chakraborti (1948) (2n = 22 + 2f, 55), Sharma and Bhattacharya (1959) (2n = 10, 16, 21, 36, 46), Bisson *et al.* (1968) (2n = 66), and Daryono *et al.* (2012) (2n = 30). In Z. *zerumbet*, a well-established chromosome number of 2n = 22 was reported by Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943), Chakraborti (1948), Ramachandran (1969), Ratnambal (1979), Omanakumari and Mathew (1985), Eksomtramage et al. (2001), Li and Chen (2008), and Joseph (2010). In the present study, the chromosome numbers of both the species of the genus Zingiber (Z. officinale and Z. *zerumbet*) was found to be 2n = 22, consistent with the earlier reports. About 25 species occur in Thailand (Larsen, 1996). Counts on most Thai Zingiber indicate it is a diploid with 2n = 22 (Moringa *et al.*, 1929; Sugiura, 1936; Raghavan and Venkatasubban, 1943; Chakravorti, 1948; Sharma and Bhattacharya, 1959; Eksomtramage et al., 2001 and Saensouk, 2000). According to this study the somatic number of 2n = 22 was found in Z. montanum, Z. mekongense, Z. officinale and Z. ottensii (Figure 4.1M-P), confirming that these four Zingiber species are diploid and they were to be x = 9, 11, 12 (Darlington and Wylie, 1945). The variations in chromosome numbers of these species have been reported from different parts of Thailand as well as that of the world. These chromosome numbers may have been the products of aneuploidy. Therefore, chromosome number variations in ploidy level within species are detected. The evolution processes have relationship with differentiation on geography, climate, soil or ecological environment. Genetic altering in all native population is caused by habitat changing in genetic processing. Genetic altering cause altering of morphological structure and physiological process. Genotype of species could be influenced by environment.

Species	This study			Pr	evious records
Spons	(2n)	2n	n	Ploidy level (x)	Authors
A. allughas Rose.		48	24	x = 12	Ramachandran (1969)
		48	24		Raghavan (1942)
		48	24		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)
		48	24		Chakravarti (1948)
A. aquatica Rose.		48	24		Chakravarti (1948)
A. bilamellata Makino		50	25		Ono and Masuda. (1981)
A. boninensis Makino		36	18		Ono and Masuda. (1981).
A. bracteata Roxb.		48	24		Chakravarti (1948)
A. calcarata Rose.		48	24		Ramachandran (1969)
		48	24		Raghavan (1943)
		48	24		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)
		48	24		Chakravarti (1948)
A. chinensis		48	24		Satil (1948, 1960)
		48	24		Sato (1948)
A. coerulea Ben th.		48	24		Bisson <i>et al.</i> (1968)
A. coriacea T.L. Wu & S.J. Chen		48	24		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1982)
A. coriandriodora D. Fang		48	24		Chen and Chen (1984)
A. formosana K.			24		Hsu (1972)
			24		Chuang <i>et al.</i> (1963)
		48	24		Mahanty (1970)
A. galanga (L.) Willd.		48	24		Ramachandran (1969)
		48	24		Raghavan (1943)
		48	24		Chen and Chen (1985)
		48	24		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)
<i>A. galanga</i> (L.) Willd. No.1,2	48, 48	48	-		Saensouk and Chantaranothai (2003)
A. intermedia Gagnep.		28	14		Hsu (1972)
A. japonica (Thunb.) Miq.		48	24		Satil (1948, 1960)
		48	24		Sato (1948)
		48	24		Chen et al. (1982).
A. katsumadae Hayata		48	24		Chen et al. (1982)
A. maclurei Merr.		48	24		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1982).
A. malaccensis (Burm. f.)		48	24		Chen et al. (1988)
Roscoe		48	24		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1982)
A. malaccensis Rose.		48	24		Chakravarti (1948)
A. nutans Rose.		48	24		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)
		48	24		Chakravarti (1948)
A. officinarum Hance		48	24		Chen and Chen (1986)
A. polyantha D. Fang		48	24		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1988)

**Table 4.1** Chromosome number of *Alpinia* compared with records by other authors.

Species	This study		Previous records					
- Freedow - State - St	(2n)	2n	n	Ploidy level (x)	Authors			
A. sanderae Sander		48	24	x = 12	Chen <i>et al</i> (1982).			
			23		Bhattacharyya (1968)			
A. stachyodes Hance		48	24		Chen and Chen (1987)			
A. siamensis	48	-	-		-			
A. tonkinensis Gagnep.		48	24		Chen et al. (1982).			
A. vittata Bull.		22	11		Chatterji (1964)			
		48	24		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)			
A. zerumbet	48	-	48		Bhadral and Bandyopadhyay (2016)			

**Table 4.1** Chromosome number of *Alpinia* compared with records by other authors.(Continued.)

Species	This stade	Previous records					
Species	(2n)	2 <i>n</i>	n	Ploidy level (x)	Authors		
A. aculeatum Roxb.			24	x = 12	Beltran and Kam (1984)		
A. austrosinense D. Fang		96	48		Chen et al. (1988)		
A.chinense W. Y. Chun		48	24		-		
A. compactum Sol. ex Maton		48	24		-		
	48	48	24		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)		
		48	24		Beltran and Kiew (1989)		
A. biflorum Jack		48	24		Chen and Huang (1996)		
		48			Eksomtramage et al. (2001)		
A. gagnepainii T. L. Wu & K. Larsen & Turland		48	24		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1982)		
A. hastilabium Ridl.		1	24		Beltran and Kam (1984)		
A. kravanh Pierre ex Gagnep.		48	24		Chen and Chen (1984)		
A. kwangsiense D. Fang & X. X. Chen		48	24		-		
A. lappaceum Ridl.		1	24		Beltran and Kam (1984)		
A. longiligulare T. L. Wu		48	24		Chen <i>et al</i> (1982)		
A. macrodons Scort.			24		Beltran and Kam (1984)		
		24	12		Chen et al. (1988)		
A. maximum Roxb.		48	24		Chen et al. (1982)		
A. menglunense T. L. Wu & S. J. Chen		48	24		Chen and Chen (1984)		
A. muricarpum Elmer		48	24				
A. putrescens D. Fang		48	24		Chen and Chen (1984)		
A. rivale Ridl.		48	24		Newman (1986)		
A. sericeum Roxb.		48	24		-		
A. schmidtii (K. Schum.) Gagnep.	48	-	-		-		
A. squarrosum Ridl.			24		Beltran and Kam (1984)		
		54	27		Das and Das (1999)		
A. subulatum Roxb.		54	27		Das and Das (1999)		
		48	24		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)		
A. testaceum Ridl.			24		Beltran and Kam (1984)		
A. uliginosum J. Koenig			24		Beltran and Kam (1984)		
A. villosum Lour.		48	24		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1982)		
A. villosum var. xanthioides (Wall.) T. L. Wu & S. J. Chen		48	24		-		

**Table 4.2** Chromosome number of Amonum compared with records by other authors.



Species	This study		Previous records					
S.F.C.C.S	(2n)	2n	n	Ploidy level (x)	Authors			
E. burtiana Kam			24	x = 12	Kam (1982)			
E. curtisii Baker			24		Kam (1982)			
E. smithiae Kam			24		Kam (1982)			
<i>E. trilobum</i> (Gagnep.) P.H. H			24		Kam (1982			
<i>E. smithiae</i> var. rugosa Kam			24		Beltran and Kam (1984)			
E. biphylla	48	-	-		New species			
E. triloba	48	-	-		New species			

**Table 4.3** Chromosome number of *Elettariopsis* compared with records by other authors.

Species	This study		Previous records					
Species	(2n)	2 <i>n</i>	n	Ploidy level	Authors			
K. angustifolia Roscoe		36	18	x = 9, 11, 12	Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)			
		54	27		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)			
		54	27		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)			
	22				-			
K.atrovirens N. E. Brown			11		Bhattacharyya (1968)			
		22	11		Venkatasubban (1946)			
		22	11		Venkatasubban (1946)			
		22	11		Chakravorti (1948)			
K. candida		22	11		-			
K. elegans Wall.		22	11		Mahanty (1970)			
K. galanga L.		54	27		Ramachandran (1969)			
		54	27		Nerle and Torne (1984)			
		54	27		Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)			
		22	11		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)			
		54	27		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)			
		54	27		Raghavan and Arora (1958)			
K. grandifolia	22	-	22		Saensouk and Jenjittikul (2001)			
K. gilbertii Bull		36	18		Chakravorti (1948)			
		36	18		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)			
		33			Mahanty (1970)			
K. marginata	42	-	55		Eksomtramage and Boontum (1995)			
		-	22		Saensouk and Chantaranothai (2003)			
K. ovalifolia Roxb.		22	11		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)			
K. pulchra Ridl.		22	11		Mahanty (1970)			
K. roscoeana Wall.		22	11		Nerle and Torne (1984)			
K. rotunda			16		Bhattacharyya (1968)			
		44	22		Ramachandran (1969)			
		44	22		Chen et al. (1988)			
		54	27		Nerle and Torne (1984)			
		45			Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)			
		33			Mahanty (1970)			
		33			Chakravorti (1948)			
			46		Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)			
			33		Eksomtramage and Boontum (1995)			
	30				-			
K. speciosa Baker K.		22	11		Nerle and Torne (1984)			

**Table 4.4** Chromosome number of *Kaempferia* compared with records by other authors.

Species	This study		Previous records						
	(2n)	2n	n	Ploidy level (x)	Authors				
Z. cassumunar Roxb.	22	22	11	x = 11, 12	Raghavan and Katasubban (1943)				
		22	11		Chakravorti (1948)				
Z. chrysostachys Ridl.			11		Beltran and Kam (1984)				
Z. corallinum Hance		22	11		-				
		22	11		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1988)				
Z. cylindricum Moon.		22	11		Mahanty (1970)				
Z. ellipticum		22	11		-				
Z. griffithii Baker			11		Beltran and Kam (1984)				
Z. macrostachyum Dalzell		22	11		Ramachandran (1969)				
		22	11		Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)				
		22	11		Ratnambal (1984)				
Z. mioga (Thunb.) Roscoe		22	11		-				
		22	11		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1988)				
		55			Suzuka and Mitsuoka (1968)				
		55			Morinaga et al. (1929)				
		55			Sat (1960)				
Z. multibracteatum Holttum			11		Beltran and Kam (1984)				
		22	11		Newman (1986)				
Z. mekongense Gagnep.	22				Saensouk and Chantaranothai (2003)				
Z. nudicarpum		22	11		-				
Z. officinale Roscoe	22	22	11		Ramachandran (1969)				
		22	11		Li (1989)				
		22	11		Ramachandran (1982)				
		22	11		Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)				
		22	11		Ratnambal (1984)				
			11		Adaniya and Shoda. 1998)				
		22	11		Das and Das (1998)				
		22	11		Dhamayanthi (1998)				
		22	11		Rai et al. (1997)				
		22	11		Das et al. (1998)				
		24	12		Dhamayanthi, and Zachariah (1998)				
		66	33		Bisson <i>et al.</i> (1968)				
		22	11		Dhamayanthi, and Zachariah (1998)				
		22	11		Sugiura (1928)				
		22	11		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)				

**Table 4.5** Chromosome number of Zingiber compared with records by other authors.

Table 4.5 Chromosome number of Zingiber compared with records by other authors.
(Continued.)

Species	This study		Previous records						
	(2n)	2n	n	Ploidy level (x)	Authors				
Z. officinale Roscoe		22	11		Chakravorti (1948)				
		22	11		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)				
		24	12		Kihara <i>et al.</i> (1931)				
		22	11		Sharma and Bhattacharyya (1959)				
		22			Saensouk and Chantaranothai (2003)				
Z. ottensii Valet.	22		11		Beltran and Kam (1984)				
Z. purpureum Roscoe			11		Beltran and Kam (1984)				
Z. roseum		22	11		Ramachandran (1969)				
		22	11		-				
Z. rubens Roxb.		24	12	12	Das et al. (1998)				
		22	11		Chakravorti (1948)				
Z. spectabile Griff.			11		Beltran and Kam (1984)				
		22	11		Newman (1986)				
		22	11		Mahanty (1970)				
Z. striolatum		22	11		-				
		22	11		Ramachandran (1969)				
Z. wightianum Thw.		22	11		Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)				
Z. zerumbet (L.) Roscoe ex Sm.		22	11		Ramachandran (1969)				
			11		Bhattacharyya (1968)				
		22	11		-				
		22	11		Chen <i>et al.</i> (1988)				
		22	11		Omanakumari and Mathew (1991)				
			11		Beltran and Kam (1984)				
		22	11		Ratnambal (1984)				
		22	11		Raghavan and Venkatasubban (1943)				
		22	11		Chakravorti (1948)				





**Figure 4.1** Somatic metaphase chromosomes of 16 species from Zingiberaceae. (A) *A. galanga* (No.1), (B) *A. galanga* (No.2), (C) *A. siamensis*, (D) *A. zerumbet*, (E) *Amomum biflorum*, (F) *Amomum schmidtii*, (G) *E. biphylla*, (H) *E. triloba*, (I) *K. angustifolia*, (J) *K. grandifolia*, (K) *K. marginata*, (L) *K. rotunda*, (M) *Z. montanum*, (N) *Z. mekongensis*, (O) *Z. officinale* and (P) *Z. ottensii*, respectively. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

# 4.2 Karyotype and idiograms

Karyomorphological studies revealed consistent chromosome numbers in the root tips of 16 species from Thailand area was carried out. The karyotypes and idiograms are summarized in each species described below.

4.2.1 Alpinia galanga (L.) Willd. (No.1)

A. galanga (No.1) showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1A), the same chromosome number was found by Ravindran *et al.* (2007). The karyotype formula was deduced to be  $(2n, 48) = L^{sm}_{20} + L^{a}_{2} + M^{sm}_{26}$  (Figure 4.2, Table 4.6). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 0.80 to 1.57 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.32 to 0.32 µm, and 0.48 to 0.80 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.605 to 0.716. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.028 to 0.056 (Table 4.6).

This data demonstrate that has 22 large and 20 medium chromosomes, observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *A. galanga* (No.1) of the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.2B. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.2 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Alpinia galanga (No.1)



**Table 4.6** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Alpinia* galanga (No.1), 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	0.63	0.95	1.57	$0.601 \pm 0.004$	$0.056\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
2	0.52	1.00	1.52	$0.657 \pm 0.021$	$0.054\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
3	0.50	0.88	1.38	$0.636 \pm 0.095$	$0.049\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
4	0.50	0.82	1.32	$0.620 \pm 0.009$	$0.047\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
5	0.37	0.93	1.30	$0.716 \pm 0.001$	$0.046\pm0.000$	Large	acrocentric
6	0.48	0.82	1.29	$0.632 \pm 0.079$	$0.046 \pm 0.000$	Large	submetacentric
7	0.49	0.79	1.28	$0.620 \pm 0.011$	$0.045 \pm 0.000$	Large	submetacentric
8	0.42	0.86	1.27	$0.674 \pm 0.014$	$0.045 \pm 0.000$	Large	submetacentric
9	0.47	0.80	1.26	$0.631 \pm 0.003$	$0.079 \pm 0.048$	Large	submetacentric
10	0.43	0.80	1.23	$0.649 \pm 0.026$	$0.044 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
11	0.50	0.72	1.22	$0.600 \pm 0.083$	$0.043 \pm 0.000$	Large	submetacentric
12	0.43	0.76	1.19	$0.637 \pm 0.002$	$0.042 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
13	0.39	0.77	1.16	$0.663 \pm 0.009$	$0.041 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
14	0.40	0.74	1.14	$0.646 \pm 0.017$	$0.041 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
15	0.36	0.78	1.13	$0.685 \pm 0.027$	$0.071 \pm 0.043$	Medium	submetacentric
16	0.44	0.69	1.12	$0.611 \pm 0.014$	$0.071 \pm 0.043$	Medium	submetacentric
17	0.38	0.74	1.12	$0.660 \pm 0.047$	$0.070 \pm 0.043$	Medium	submetacentric
18	0.37	0.70	1.07	$0.651 \pm 0.058$	$0.067 \pm 0.039$	Medium	submetacentric
19	0.37	0.67	1.04	$0.647 \pm 0.060$	$0.037 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
20	0.36	0.65	1.02	$0.641 \pm 0.060$	$0.063 \pm 0.038$	Medium	submetacentric
21	0.37	0.58	0.95	$0.612 \pm 0.045$	$0.059 \pm 0.035$	Medium	submetacentric
22	0.32	0.60	0.92	$0.655 \pm 0.040$	$0.033\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
23	0.33	0.54	0.88	$0.619 \pm 0.015$	$0.031\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
24	0.32	0.48	0.80	$0.605 \pm 0.000$	$0.028\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric



4.2.2 Alpinia galanga (L.) Willd. (No.2)

A. galanga (No.2) showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1B), which agrees with the values previous reported for this species (Saensouk and Chantaranothai, 2003). The karyotype formula was deduced to be  $(2n, 48) = L^{sm}_{18} + L^{a}_{2} + M^{sm}_{28}$  (Figure 4.3, Table 4.7). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 2.34 to 4.26 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.43 to 1.28 µm, and 0.88 to 2.99 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.641 to 0.701. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.032 to 0.058 (Table 4.7).

This data demonstrates *A. galanga* (No.2) that has 20 large and 28 medium chromosomes, observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *A. galanga* (No.2) of the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.3B. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.3 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Alpinia galanga (No.2)



**Table 4.7** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Alpinia* galanga (No.2), 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.28	2.99	4.26	$0.701 \pm 0.026$	$0.058\pm0.002$	Large	acrocentric
2	1.25	2.59	3.85	$0.674 \pm 0.015$	$0.053\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.18	2.51	3.68	$0.681 \pm 0.012$	$0.050\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.15	2.48	3.62	$0.684 \pm 0.013$	$0.050\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
5	1.12	2.40	3.53	$0.682 \pm 0.016$	$0.048\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
6	1.10	2.24	3.34	$0.670 \pm 0.022$	$0.046\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
7	1.06	1.96	3.02	$0.650 \pm 0.020$	$0.041\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
8	1.02	1.90	2.93	$0.650 \pm 0.004$	$0.040\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
9	0.98	1.88	2.86	$0.657 \pm 0.002$	$0.039\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
10	0.95	1.85	2.81	$0.660 \pm 0.005$	$0.038\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
11	0.94	1.81	2.74	$0.659 \pm 0.011$	$0.037\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
12	0.90	1.74	2.64	$0.658 \pm 0.016$	$0.036\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
13	0.87	1.73	2.59	$0.667 \pm 0.030$	$0.035\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
14	0.86	1.70	2.56	$0.666 \pm 0.021$	$0.035\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
15	0.81	1.68	2.49	$0.676 \pm 0.021$	$0.034\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
16	0.78	1.61	2.40	$0.674 \pm 0.029$	$0.033\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
17	0.76	1.58	2.34	$0.677 \ \pm 0.026$	$0.032\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
18	0.72	1.45	2.17	$0.668 \pm 0.045$	$0.030\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
19	0.72	1.39	2.11	$0.659 \ \pm 0.035$	$0.029\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
20	0.71	1.30	2.00	$0.647 \pm 0.004$	$0.027\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
21	0.67	1.19	1.86	$0.641 \pm 0.029$	$0.025\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
22	0.60	1.14	1.74	$0.654 \pm 0.012$	$0.024\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
23	0.54	1.01	1.55	$0.651 \pm 0.029$	$0.021\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
24	0.43	0.88	1.31	$0.677 \pm 0.053$	$0.018 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric



4.2.3 Alpinia siamensis K. Schum.

The plants of *A. siamensis* showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1C), which agrees with the values previous reported for other species in the genus *Alpinia*, ( Ono and Masuda, 1981; Eksomtramage *et al.*, 2001; Saensouk and Chantaranothai, 2003). The karyotype formula was deduced to be  $(2n, 48) = L^{m}_{4} + L^{sm}_{8} + L^{a}_{16} + M^{m}_{4} + M^{sm}_{10} + M^{a}_{6}$  (Figure 4.4, Table 4.8). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 2.18 to 4.75 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.75 to 2.07 µm, and 1.36 to 3.68 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.553 to 0.778. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.037 to 0.056 (Table 4.8).

This data demonstrates that *A. siamensis* has 28 large and 20 medium chromosomes, observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *A. siamensis* of the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.4B. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.4 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Alpinia siamensis



**Table 4.8** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Alpinia siamensis*, 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.06	3.68	4.75	$0.778 \pm 0.059$	$0.056 \pm 0.004$	Large	acrocentric
2	1.12	3.18	4.30	$0.739 \pm 0.015$	$0.051 \pm 0.001$	Large	acrocentric
3	2.07	2.17	4.23	$0.512 \pm 0.008$	$0.050 \pm 0.001$	Large	metacentric
4	1.11	2.97	4.07	$0.728 \pm 0.009$	$0.048\pm0.001$	Large	acrocentric
5	1.97	2.02	3.99	$0.506 \pm 0.023$	$0.047\pm0.002$	Large	metacentric
6	1.06	2.74	3.80	$0.721 \pm 0.002$	$0.045\pm0.000$	Large	acrocentric
7	1.35	2.41	3.76	$0.642\pm0.038$	$0.045\pm0.003$	Large	submetacentric
8	1.35	2.39	3.74	$0.641 \pm 0.037$	$0.044 \pm 0.003$	Large	submetacentric
9	1.16	2.57	3.72	$0.690 \pm 0.021$	$0.044 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
10	1.04	2.68	3.72	$0.720\pm0.029$	$0.044 \pm 0.002$	Large	acrocentric
11	1.03	2.69	3.71	$0.724 \pm 0.039$	$0.044 \pm 0.002$	Large	acrocentric
12	1.16	2.53	3.68	$0.687 \pm 0.048$	$0.044 \pm 0.003$	Large	submetacentric
13	0.93	2.68	3.61	$0.743 \pm 0.035$	$0.043 \pm 0.002$	Large	acrocentric
14	0.86	2.67	3.53	$0.756\pm0.019$	$0.042\pm0.001$	Large	acrocentric
15	0.87	2.53	3.40	$0.744 \pm 0.002$	$0.040 \pm 0.000$	Medium	acrocentric
16	1.52	1.87	3.39	$0.553 \pm 0.046$	$0.040 \pm 0.003$	Medium	metacentric
19	1.23	2.07	3.30	$0.626 \pm 0.001$	$0.039 \pm 0.001$	Medium	acrocentric
17	0.86	2.46	3.33	$0.741 \pm 0.017$	$0.037\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
20	0.75	2.42	3.18	$0.763 \pm 0.012$	$0.039 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
18	1.03	2.12	3.15	$0.674 \pm 0.031$	$0.038 \pm 0.001$	Medium	acrocentric
21	0.99	1.76	2.75	$0.641 \pm 0.003$	$0.033 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
22	0.79	1.74	2.52	$0.690 \pm 0.049$	$0.030 \pm 0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
23	0.98	1.43	2.42	$0.594 \pm 0.022$	$0.029\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
24	0.82	1.36	2.18	$0.623 \pm 0.012$	$0.026 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric



4.2.4 Alpinia zerumbet (Pers.) B.L.Burtt & R.M.Sm.

The plants of *A. zerumbet* showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1D). Although not much cytological study has been done previously on *A. zerumbet* by Joseph (1998) reported 2n = 48, while a different study by Bhadra and Bandyopadhyay (2016) showed a chromosome count of *A. zerumbet* 2n = 52. In this study the karyotype formula was deduced to be  $(2n, 48) = L^m_2 + L^{sm}_{14} + M^m_{20} + M^{sm}_{12}$  (Figure 4.5, Table 4.9). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 2.62 to 4.35 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.65 to 1.76 µm, and 0.87 to 2.59 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.574 to 0.646. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.025 to 0.070 (Table 4.9).

This data demonstrates that *A. zerumbet* has 16 large and 32 medium chromosomes, observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *A. zerumbet* of the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.5. A previous karyotype description has been made for this species by Bhadra and Bandyopadhyay (2016) found (2n, 52) = 1 M+ 39 m+ 10Sm + 1 m:Sm +1Sm:Sm.



Figure 4.5 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Alpinia zerumbet.



**Table 4.9** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Alpinia zerumbet*, 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI\pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.76	2.59	4.35	$0.596 \pm 0.006$	$0.070\pm0.001$	Large	metacentric
2	1.48	2.38	3.86	$0.617\pm0.017$	$0.062\pm0.002$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.38	2.14	3.52	$0.608 \pm 0.015$	$0.057\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.25	2.07	3.32	$0.625\pm0.009$	$0.053\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
5	1.17	2.04	3.21	$0.637\pm0.007$	$0.052\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
6	1.11	2.03	3.14	$0.646\pm0.006$	$0.051\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
7	1.09	1.88	2.97	$0.634\pm0.002$	$0.048\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
8	1.06	1.83	2.89	$0.633 \pm 0.009$	$0.047\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
9	1.06	1.71	2.76	$0.617 \pm 0.017$	$0.045\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
10	1.03	1.58	2.61	$0.605\pm0.008$	$0.042\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
11	1.02	1.56	2.58	$0.604\pm0.004$	$0.042\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
12	1.01	1.46	2.48	$0.591\pm0.005$	$0.040\pm0.000$	Medium	metacentric
13	1.00	1.41	2.42	$0.585\pm0.007$	$0.039\pm0.000$	Medium	metacentric
14	0.96	1.39	2.35	$0.590\pm0.016$	$0.038 \pm 0.001$	Medium	metacentric
15	0.94	1.34	2.28	$0.589 \pm 0.001$	0.037 ±0.000	Medium	metacentric
16	0.90	1.31	2.22	$0.593 \pm 0.002$	$0.036\pm0.000$	Medium	metacentric
17	0.87	1.30	2.18	$0.598 \pm 0.010$	$0.035\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
18	0.84	1.30	2.14	$0.606 \pm 0.012$	$0.034\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
19	0.81	1.23	2.04	$0.605\pm0.002$	$0.033\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
20	0.78	1.16	1.94	$0.598 \pm 0.033$	$0.031\pm0.002$	Medium	metacentric
21	0.75	1.09	1.84	$0.594\pm0.020$	$0.030\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
22	0.71	1.07	1.79	$0.602\pm0.033$	$0.029 \pm 0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
23	0.69	0.99	1.68	$0.588 \pm 0.013$	$0.027\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
24	0.65	0.87	1.52	$0.574 \pm 0.031$	$0.025\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric



## 4.2.5 Amomum biflorum Jack

The plants of *A. biflorum* showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1E). A previous reported for *A. biflorum* 2n = 48, (Eksomtramage *et al.*, 2001). In this study the karyotype formula was deduced to be  $(2n, 48) = L^{sm}_{10} + L^{a}_{2} + M^{sm}_{36}$  (Figure 4.6, Table 4.10). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 1.26 to 4.39 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.49 to 1.30 µm, and 0.77 to 3.09 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.617 to 0.704 The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.021 to 0.075 (Table 4.10).

This data demonstrates that *A. biflorum* has 12 large and 36 medium chromosomes, observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *A. biflorum* of the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.6. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.6 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Amomum biflorum.



**Table 4.10** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Amomum biflorum*, 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.30	3.09	4.39	$0.704 \pm 0.024$	$0.075\pm0.003$	Large	acrocentric
2	1.19	2.66	3.85	$0.693 \pm 0.058$	$0.065\pm0.005$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.15	2.20	3.35	$0.658 \pm 0.016$	$0.057\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.12	1.96	3.07	$0.638 \pm 0.037$	$0.052\pm0.003$	Large	submetacentric
5	1.04	1.94	2.98	$0.652\pm0.034$	$0.051\pm0.003$	Large	submetacentric
6	1.02	1.77	2.80	$0.634\pm0.013$	$0.048 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
7	0.95	1.74	2.69	$0.646\pm0.000$	$0.046\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
8	0.94	1.69	2.63	$0.644\pm0.004$	$0.045\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
9	0.92	1.60	2.52	$0.635\pm0.009$	$0.043\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
10	0.90	1.59	2.49	$0.638 \pm 0.018$	$0.042\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
11	0.88	1.54	2.42	$0.636 \pm 0.031$	$0.041\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
12	0.85	1.49	2.34	$0.638 \pm 0.015$	$0.040\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
13	0.83	1.47	2.30	$0.640\pm0.016$	$0.039\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
14	0.83	1.42	2.25	$0.632\pm0.009$	$0.038 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
15	0.78	1.40	2.17	$0.644\pm0.003$	$0.037\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
16	0.76	1.34	2.10	$0.638 \pm 0.009$	$0.036\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
17	0.76	1.32	2.08	$0.637\pm0.011$	$0.035\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
18	0.74	1.29	2.03	$0.636\pm0.001$	$0.034\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
19	0.73	1.23	1.95	$0.628 \pm 0.006$	$0.033\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
20	0.71	1.21	1.92	$0.629 \pm 0.007$	$0.033\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
21	0.68	1.14	1.82	$0.628 \pm 0.037$	$0.031 \pm 0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
22	0.66	1.09	1.75	$0.622\pm0.013$	$0.030\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
23	0.62	1.08	1.70	$0.637 \pm 0.024$	$0.029 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
24	0.49	0.77	1.26	$0.617\pm0.085$	$0.021\pm0.003$	Medium	submetacentric



# 4.2.6 Amomum schmidtii (K. Schum.) Gagnep.

The plants of *A. schmidtii* showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1F). According to Beltran and Kiew (1984) and Chen and Huang (1996) chromosome of *Amomum* as 2n = 4x = 48. The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 1.65 to 4.39 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 1.07 to 2.42 µm, and 0.77 to 3.99 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.583 to 0.628 The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.025 to 0.061 (Table 4.11).

This data demonstrates that *A. schmidtii* has 22 large and 26 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula of  $(2n, 48) = L^{sm}_{22} + M^{sm}_{16} + M^{m}_{10}$  (Figure 4.7A), observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *A. schmidtii* of the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.7B. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.7 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Amomum schmidtii.



**Table 4.11** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Amomum schmidtii*, 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.57	2.42	3.99	$0.607 \pm 0.004$	$0.061\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
2	1.39	2.16	3.55	$0.607 \pm 0.009$	$0.054\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.28	2.15	3.43	$0.626\pm0.015$	$0.052\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.26	2.07	3.32	$0.622\pm0.006$	$0.051\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
5	1.23	2.03	3.27	$0.623 \pm 0.005$	$0.050\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
6	1.20	2.00	3.20	$0.625\pm0.000$	$0.049\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
7	1.16	1.96	3.12	$0.628 \pm 0.010$	$0.048\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
8	1.14	1.85	2.99	$0.618\pm0.003$	$0.046\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
9	1.12	1.84	2.96	$0.622\pm0.011$	$0.045\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
10	1.09	1.83	2.92	$0.626\pm0.017$	$0.045\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
11	1.08	1.81	2.89	$0.626\pm0.022$	$0.044\pm0.002$	Large	submetacentric
12	1.07	1.71	2.78	$0.616\pm0.014$	$0.042\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
13	1.05	1.66	2.70	$0.612\pm0.000$	$0.041\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
14	1.05	1.63	2.68	$0.608\pm0.006$	$0.041\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
15	1.04	1.54	2.58	$0.598 \pm 0.010$	$0.039\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
16	1.03	1.46	2.50	$0.587\pm0.021$	$0.038\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
17	0.98	1.44	2.42	$0.595\pm0.019$	$0.037\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
18	0.98	1.37	2.35	$0.583 \pm 0.017$	$0.036\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
19	0.95	1.36	2.31	$0.589 \pm 0.019$	0.035 ±0.001	Medium	metacentric
20	0.85	1.30	2.16	$0.604 \pm 0.027$	$0.033 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
21	0.82	1.24	2.06	$0.600 \pm 0.004$	$0.031\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
22	0.73	1.21	1.94	$0.624\pm0.026$	$0.030\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
23	0.66	1.17	1.84	$0.640 \pm 0.011$	$0.028\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
24	0.58	1.07	1.65	$0.649 \pm 0.001$	$0.025\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric



4.2.7 Elettariopsis biphylla S.Saensouk & P.Saensouk, sp. nov.

*E. biphylla*, a new species of Zingiberaceae from Thailand, was discovered by Saensouk and Saensouk (2014) and has a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1G). This chromosome number agrees with those previously reported for other species in this genus (Beltran and Kiew, 1 9 8 4 and Kam, 1982). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 0.75 to 1.82 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 1.00 to 0.80 µm, and 0.73 to 1.27 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.555 to 1.000 The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.024 to 0.059 (Table 4.12).

The results showed that *E. biphylla* has 26 large and 22 medium chromosomes with the karyotype formula of *E. biphylla* to be  $(2n, 48) = L^m_{6} + L^{sm}_{16} + L^a_4 + M^m_2 + M^{sm}_{16} + M^t_4$  (Figure 4.8A), observed asymmetrical karyotypes. In addition, this study found that the 22<sup>nd</sup>, 24<sup>th</sup> medium chromosome pairs were of the telocentric chromosomes type. An idiogram of *E. biphylla* showing the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.8B. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.8 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Elettariopsis biphylla*.



**Table 4.12** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Elettariopsis biphylla*, 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	$RL \pm SD$	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	0.80	1.02	1.82	$0.559 \pm 0.050$	$0.059\pm0.004$	Large	metacentric
2	0.51	1.24	1.75	$0.711 \pm 0.017$	$0.056\pm0.001$	Large	acrocentric
3	0.73	1.00	1.74	$0.622 \pm 0.004$	$0.056\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
4	0.58	1.12	1.70	$0.660 \pm 0.046$	$0.055 \pm 0.003$	Large	submetacentric
5	0.67	0.90	1.57	$0.574\pm0.003$	$0.050\pm0.000$	Large	metacentric
6	0.51	0.96	1.47	$0.652\pm0.025$	$0.047\pm0.002$	Large	submetacentric
7	0.51	0.95	1.47	$0.651\pm0.018$	$0.047\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
8	0.53	0.88	1.41	$0.648\pm0.010$	$0.045\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
9	0.39	1.01	1.40	$0.724\pm0.008$	$0.045\pm0.000$	Large	acrocentric
10	0.61	0.76	1.37	$0.554\pm0.011$	$0.044 \pm 0.001$	Large	metacentric
11	0.44	0.92	1.36	$0.678\pm0.002$	$0.044\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
12	0.49	0.85	1.33	$0.651 \pm 0.017$	$0.043\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
13	0.53	0.76	1.30	$0.647\pm0.021$	$0.042\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
14	0.41	0.85	1.26	$0.675\pm0.002$	$0.041\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
15	0.39	0.77	1.16	$0.646\pm0.002$	$0.037\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
16	0.42	0.73	1.15	$0.658\pm0.002$	$0.037\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
17	0.41	0.72	1.14	$0.651\pm0.012$	$0.037\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
18	0.36	0.77	1.13	$0.645\pm0.001$	$0.036\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
19	0.47	0.66	1.13	$0.581\pm0.003$	$0.037\pm0.000$	Medium	metacentric
20	0.44	0.67	1.10	$0.606\pm0.008$	$0.036\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
21	0.35	0.66	1.00	$0.656 \pm 0.031$	$0.032 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
22	0.00	0.98	0.98	$1.000 \pm 0.023$	$0.032 \pm 0.001$	Medium	telocentric
23	0.32	0.48	0.80	$0.\overline{605 \pm 0277}$	$0.026 \pm 0.003$	Medium	submetacentric
24	0.00	0.75	0.75	$1.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.024 \pm 0.000$	Medium	telocentric

# 4.2.8 Elettariopsis triloba (Gagnep.) Loes.



*E. triloba*, has a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 (Figure 4.1H). The results correspond to the gametic number (n = 24) which is reported for *Elettariopsis* (Beltran and Kiew, 1984). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 2.30 to 2.72 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 1.01 to 2.08 µm, and 1.28to 3.65 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.509 to 0.637 The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.025 to 0.061 (Table 4.13).

This data demonstrates that *E. triloba* has 16 large and 32 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula of  $(2n, 48) = L^{m}_{4} + L^{sm}_{10} + L^{a}_{2} + M^{m}_{14} + M^{sm}_{18}$  (Figure 4.9A), observed symmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *E. triloba* of the haploid set (n = 24) is shown in Figure 4.9B. The chromosome relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.023 to 0.063 (Table 4.13). This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.9 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Elettariopsis triloba* 



**Table 4.13** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Elettariopsis triloba*, 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	2.08	3.65	5.72	$0.637 \pm 0.010$	$0.061 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
2	1.95	3.06	5.01	$0.611 \pm 0.017$	$0.054\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
3	2.11	2.69	4.80	$0.560 \pm 0.004$	$0.051\pm0.000$	Large	metacentric
4	1.01	3.76	4.77	$0.792\pm0.070$	$0.051\pm0.004$	Large	acrocentric
5	1.77	2.96	4.73	$0.628 \pm 0.049$	$0.051\pm0.004$	Large	submetacentric
6	2.14	2.21	4.35	$0.509 \pm 0.021$	$0.047\pm0.002$	Large	metacentric
7	1.61	2.61	4.22	$0.619\pm0.011$	$0.045\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
8	1.58	2.49	4.07	$0.611\pm0.017$	$0.044\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
9	1.87	2.12	3.99	$0.532\pm0.021$	$0.043\pm0.002$	Medium	metacentric
10	1.23	2.74	3.97	$0.691 \pm 0.010$	$0.042\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
11	1.37	2.60	3.97	$0.662\pm0.095$	$0.042\pm0.006$	Medium	submetacentric
12	1.42	2.48	3.89	$0.637\pm0.032$	$0.042 \pm 0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
13	1.68	2.20	3.88	$0.566\pm0.019$	$0.041\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
14	1.31	2.47	3.78	$0.654\pm0.006$	$0.040\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
15	1.70	2.05	3.75	$0.548 \pm 0.020$	$0.040\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
16	1.30	2.42	3.71	$0.653\pm0.049$	$0.040\pm0.003$	Medium	submetacentric
17	1.27	2.41	3.68	$0.655\pm0.026$	$0.039\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
18	1.52	1.98	3.50	$0.566 \pm 0.024$	$0.037\pm0.002$	Medium	metacentric
19	1.37	1.99	3.36	$0.594 \pm 0.031$	$0.036\pm0.002$	Medium	metacentric
20	1.20	2.10	3.29	$0.637\pm0.011$	$0.035\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
21	1.07	2.22	3.29	$0.676\pm0.024$	$0.035\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
22	1.38	1.42	2.80	$0.507 \pm 0.010$	$0.030\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
23	1.07	1.67	2.75	$0.610 \pm 0.012$	$0.029 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
24	1.01	1.28	2.30	$0.563 \pm 0.068$	$0.025 \pm 0.003$	Medium	metacentric



### 4.2.9 Kaempferia angustifolia Roscoe

The somatic cells of root tips of *K. angustifolia* showed the chromosome number of 2n = 22, and not different from earlier studies by Mahanty (1970); Saensouk and Saensouk (2004) (Figure 4 . 1 I). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 1.69 to 3.99 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.00 to 1.81 µm, and 0.95 to 2.88 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.548 to 1.000. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.025 to 0.061 (Table 4.14).

This data demonstrates that *E. triloba* has 16 large and 32 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula. The karyotype formula to be  $(2n, 22) = L^{m_2} + L^{sm_8} + L^{a_2} + M^{m_4} + M^{sm_4} + M^{t_2}$  (Figure 4.10A), with observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *K. angustifolia* is shown in Figure 4.10B. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.10 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Kaempferia angustifolia.

**Table 4.14** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia angustifolia*, 2n (diploid) = 22

	1						
Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.01	0.10	2.00	0.540 0.005	0.101 0.000	x	
1	1.81	2.18	3.99	$0.548 \pm 0.037$	$0.131 \pm 0.003$	Large	metacentric
2	1.06	2.88	3.94	$0.737\pm0.100$	$0.130\pm0.006$	Large	acrocentric
3	0.99	2.25	3.24	$0.696\pm0.056$	$0.107\pm0.009$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.05	1.95	3.00	$0.652\pm0.023$	$0.099 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
5	1.11	1.77	2.88	$0.614\pm0.020$	$0.095 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
6	0.88	1.91	2.79	$0.687\pm0.050$	$0.076\pm0.002$	Large	submetacentric
7	0.84	1.62	2.46	$0.659\pm0.005$	$0.092\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
8	1.02	1.28	2.29	$0.557\pm0.031$	$0.076\pm0.004$	Medium	metacentric
9	0.88	1.36	2.23	$0.610\pm0.047$	$0.074\pm0.006$	Medium	submetacentric
10	0.00	1.85	1.85	$1.000 \pm 0.000$	$0.056\pm0.000$	Medium	telocentric
11	0.74	0.95	1.69	$0.563 \pm 0.009$	$0.061\pm0.000$	Medium	metacentric



## 4.2.10 Kaempferia grandifolia Saensouk & Jenjitt.

*K. grandifolia* is a new species from the Phu Phan National Park in NE Thailand, described and illustrated by Saensouk and Jenjittikul (2001). The somatic cells of root tips of *K. grandifolia* showed a chromosome number of 2n = 22 (Figure 4.1J). This result is agreement with previous reported by Saensouk and Jenjittikul (2001). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 0.68 to 2.01 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.19 to 0.49 µm, and 0.48 to 1.52 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.717 to 0.793. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.050 to 0.145 (Table 4.15).

This data demonstrates that *K. grandifolia* has 8 large and 14 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula to be  $(2n, 22) = L^a_8 + M^a_{14}$  (Figure 4.11A), with observed asymmetrical karyotypes. An idiogram of *K. grandifolia* is shown in Figure 4.11B and observed asymmetrical karyotypes. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.11 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Kaempferia grandifolia



**Table 4.15** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia grandifolia*, 2n (diploid) = 48.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	0.49	1.52	2.01	$0.755\pm0.025$	$0.148 \pm 0.005$	Large	acrocentric
2	0.35	1.26	1.62	$0.781\pm0.007$	$0.119\pm0.001$	Large	acrocentric
3	0.32	1.12	1.44	$0.780\pm0.011$	$0.106\pm0.002$	Large	acrocentric
4	0.28	1.09	1.37	$0.793 \pm 0.004$	$0.101\pm0.000$	Large	acrocentric
5	0.28	0.95	1.23	$0.774\pm0.032$	$0.091 \pm 0.004$	Medium	acrocentric
6	0.28	0.89	1.17	$0.765\pm0.045$	$0.086\pm0.005$	Medium	acrocentric
7	0.26	0.86	1.12	$0.764\pm0.018$	$0.083 \pm 0.002$	Medium	acrocentric
8	0.25	0.79	1.05	$0.761\pm0.086$	$0.077\pm0.009$	Medium	acrocentric
9	0.23	0.75	0.99	$0.767\pm0.049$	$0.073 \pm 0.005$	Medium	acrocentric
10	0.21	0.70	0.91	$0.766 \pm 0.007$	$0.067\pm0.001$	Medium	acrocentric
11	0.19	0.48	0.68	$0.717\pm0.062$	$0.050\pm0.004$	Medium	acrocentric



#### 4.2.11 Kaempferia marginata Carey ex Roscoe

The somatic cells of root tips of K. marginata showed a chromosome number of 2n = 42, (Figure 4.1K). Whereas, a previous study of K. marginata from Phu Laenkha National Park, Thailand by Khamtaeng et al. (2014), observed a chromosome count of 2n = 44. Eksomtramage and Boontum (1995) showed a chromosome count of K. marginata 2n = 55. The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 0.85 to 1.88  $\mu$ m. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.37 to 0.57 μm, and 0.48 to 1.32 μm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.562 to 0.704. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.033 to 0.073 (Table 4.16).

This data demonstrates that K. marginata has 10 large and 32 medium chromosomes and with the karyotype formula being  $(2n, 42) = L^{m_4} + L^{sm_2} + L^{a_4} + M^{m_{16}} + L^{m_{16}} + L^{$ M<sup>sm</sup><sub>16</sub> (Figure 4.12A). An idiogram of *K. marginata* is shown in Figure 4.12B. The observed asymmetrical karyotypes. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



В

Figure 4.12 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of *Kaempferia marginata*.

**Table 4.16** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia marginata*, 2n (diploid) = 42.

Chro.	Ls	Ll	LT	$\text{CI} \pm \text{SD}$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
pair							
1	0.56	1.32	1.88	$0.704 \pm 0.050$	$0.073 \pm 0.005$	Large	acrocentric
2	0.57	0.98	1.56	$0.631\pm0.024$	$0.061\pm0.003$	Large	submetacentric
3	0.44	1.04	1.48	$0.703\pm0.010$	$0.057\pm0.004$	Large	acrocentric
4	0.64	0.81	1.45	$0.557\pm0.029$	$0.056\pm0.000$	Large	metacentric
5	0.59	0.85	1.44	$0.593 \pm 0.032$	$0.056\pm0.001$	Large	metacentric
6	0.49	0.83	1.33	$0.628 \pm 0.023$	$0.051 \pm 0.003$	Medium	submetacentric
7	0.44	0.86	1.30	$0.661\pm0.006$	$0.050\pm0.003$	Medium	submetacentric
8	0.56	0.72	1.28	$0.564 \pm 0.034$	$0.050\pm0.002$	Medium	metacentric
9	0.57	0.68	1.26	$0.544 \pm 0.034$	$0.049 \pm 0.003$	Medium	metacentric
10	0.43	0.83	1.25	$0.660\pm0.039$	$0.049\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
11	0.53	0.69	1.22	$0.564\pm0.044$	$0.047\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
12	0.44	0.77	1.21	$0.636\pm0.006$	$0.047\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
13	0.48	0.67	1.15	$0.583 \pm 0.005$	$0.045\pm0.002$	Medium	metacentric
14	0.51	0.64	1.15	$0.559 \pm 0.037$	$0.044\pm0.000$	Medium	metacentric
15	0.39	0.69	1.07	$0.640\pm0.017$	$0.042\pm0.003$	Medium	submetacentric
16	0.41	0.64	1.06	$0.616 \pm 0.096$	$0.041\pm0.006$	Medium	submetacentric
17	0.41	0.64	1.06	$0.611\pm0.052$	$0.041\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
18	0.40	0.59	0.99	$0.594 \pm 0.000$	$0.038 \pm 0.003$	Medium	metacentric
19	0.35	0.62	0.97	$0.641\pm0.029$	$0.037\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
20	0.41	0.48	0.89	$0.539 \pm 0.034$	$0.035\pm0.003$	Medium	metacentric
21	0.37	0.48	0.85	$0.562\pm0.000$	$0.033\pm0.002$	Medium	metacentric



### 4.2.12 Kaempferia rotunda L.

*K. rotunda* had a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 30 (Figure 4.1L). This chromosome number is inconsistent with that previously reported for *K. rotunda* by Nerle and Torne (1984); Chen *et al.* (1988); Omanakumari and Mathew (1991); Eksomtramage and Boontum (1995); Saensouk and Chantaranothai (2003), who observed a chromosome number of 2n = 54, 44, 46, 33 and 22 respectively. The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 0.75 to 1.89 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.30 to 0.70 µm, and 0.46 to 1.89 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.607 to 0.0.690. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.040 to 0.099 (Table 4.17).

This data demonstrates that *K. rotunda* has 26 large and 4 medium chromosomes. A karyotype formula of *K. rotunda*  $(2n, 30) = L^{sm}_{14} + M^{m}_{6} + M^{sm}_{10}$  (Figure 4.13A). An idiogram is shown in Figure 4.13B and observed symmetrical karyotypes. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.13 Karyotype (A), and idiogram (B) of Kaempferia rotunda.



**Table 4.17** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Kaempferia rotunda*, 2n (diploid) = 30.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	0.70	1.19	1.89	$0.631 \pm 0.014$	$0.099 \pm 0.002$	Large	submetacentric
2	0.60	0.95	1.56	$0.612\pm0.002$	$0.082\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
3	0.55	0.95	1.50	$0.632\pm0.003$	$0.079\pm0.000$	Large	submetacentric
4	0.49	0.92	1.42	$0.652\pm0.006$	$0.074\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
5	0.44	0.93	1.37	$0.681\pm0.058$	$0.072\pm0.006$	Large	submetacentric
6	0.49	0.86	1.35	$0.635\pm0.006$	$0.071\pm0.001$	Large	submetacentric
7	0.47	0.88	1.34	$0.653 \pm 0.014$	$0.071 \pm 0.002$	Large	submetacentric
8	0.39	0.87	1.26	$0.690 \pm 0.040$	$0.066 \pm 0.004$	Medium	submetacentric
9	0.45	0.79	1.24	$0.638 \pm 0.022$	$0.065\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
10	0.56	0.66	1.22	$0.542\pm0.041$	$0.064\pm0.005$	Medium	metacentric
11	0.49	0.71	1.20	$0.597 \pm 0.056$	$0.063 \pm 0.006$	Medium	metacentric
12	0.41	0.61	1.02	$0.601 \pm 0.029$	$0.053\pm0.003$	Medium	submetacentric
13	0.32	0.67	1.00	$0.677 \pm 0.003$	$0.052 \pm 0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
14	0.40	0.55	0.95	$0.581 \pm 0.046$	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	Medium	metacentric
15	0.30	0.46	0.75	$0.607 \pm 0.010$	$0.040 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric



4.2.13 Zingiber montanum (J. Koenig) Link ex A. Dietr.

The plants of Z. montanum showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 22 (Figure 4.1M) and correspond to a previous count of 2n = 22 from this species by Saensouk and Saensouk (2004). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 2.58 to 4.49 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 1.02 to 1.88 µm, and 1.56 to 3.06 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.540 to 0.649. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.062 to 0.119 (Table 4.18).

The data demonstrates that Z. montanum has 14 large and 8 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula of  $(2n, 22) = L^{m_2} + L^{sm_{12}} + M^{m_6} + M^{sm_2}$  (Figure 4.14A). An idiogram of Z. montanum of the haploid set (n = 11) is shown in Figure 2B and observed symmetrical karyotypes. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.14 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber montanum.

**Table 4.18** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingber montanum*. 2n (diploid) = 22.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.88	3.06	4.94	$0.619 \pm 0.004$	$0.119 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
2	1.64	2.76	4.40	$0.628 \pm 0.036$	$0.106 \pm 0.006$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.52	2.67	4.19	$0.638 \pm 0.025$	$0.101 \pm 0.004$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.62	2.62	4.24	$0.619 \pm 0.014$	$0.102 \pm 0.002$	Large	submetacentric
5	1.46	2.55	4.01	$0.637 \pm 0.009$	$0.096 \pm 0.001$	Large	submetacentric
6	1.36	2.52	3.88	$0.649 \pm 0.016$	$0.093 \pm 0.002$	Large	submetacentric
7	1.58	2.34	3.92	$0.599 \pm 0.047$	$0.094 \pm 0.007$	Large	metacentric
8	1.31	1.85	3.16	$0.584 \pm 0.005$	$0.076 \pm 0.001$	Medium	metacentric
9	1.28	1.77	3.05	$0.581 \pm 0.011$	$0.073 \pm 0.001$	Medium	metacentric
10	1.47	1.69	3.16	$0.540 \pm 0.068$	$0.076 \pm 0.010$	Medium	metacentric
11	1.02	1.56	2.58	$0.606 \pm 0.018$	$0.062 \pm 0.002$	Medium	submetacentric



#### 4.2.14 Zingiber mekongense Gagnep.

The plants of Z. *mekongense* showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 22 (Figure 4.2N), which agrees with the values previous reported for this species, 2n = 22, (Saensouk and Chantaranothai, 2003). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 2.31 to 5.40 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.75 to 1.65 µm, and 1.56 to 3.75 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.570 to 0.750. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.055 to 0.128 (Table 4.19).

This data demonstrates that Z. mekongense has 10 large and 12 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula of  $(2n, 22) = L^{m_2} + L^{sm_4} + L^{a_4} + M^{sm_6} + M^{a_6}$ (Figure 4.15A). In this study, that found the nucleolar organizer regions (NORs), which represents the chromosome marker in located only on the short arms of the 6<sup>th</sup> medium chromosome pairs were of the acrocentric chromosomes type. An idiogram of Z. mekongense of the haploid set (n = 11) is shown in Figure 4.15B and observed asymmetrical karyotypes. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.15 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber mekongense.
**Table 4.19** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingiber mekongense*. 2n (diploid) = 22.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.65	3.75	5.40	$0.694 \pm 0.011$	$0.128 \pm 0.002$	Large	submetacentric
2	1.59	3.67	5.26	$0.699 \pm 0.031$	$0.124\pm0.005$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.29	3.50	4.79	$0.730\pm0.017$	$0.113 \pm 0.003$	Large	acrocentric
4	1.12	3.36	4.48	$0.750\pm0.000$	$0.106\pm0.000$	Large	acrocentric
5	1.82	2.39	4.21	$0.570\pm0.053$	$0.099 \pm 0.009$	Large	metacentric
6	1.03	2.67	3.70	$0.722\pm0.027$	$0.088 \pm 0.003$	Medium	acrocentric
7	1.01	2.53	3.54	$0.716\pm0.057$	$0.084 \pm 0.007$	Medium	acrocentric
8	0.97	2.25	3.22	$0.700\pm0.002$	$0.076\pm0.000$	Medium	acrocentric
9	0.94	1.99	2.93	$0.679 \pm 0.014$	$0.069 \pm 0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
10	0.89	1.67	2.56	$0.652\pm0.021$	$0.060 \pm 0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
11	0.75	1.56	2.31	$0.679 \pm 0.078$	$0.055 \pm 0.006$	Medium	submetacentric

Note: Chro. = Chromosome



4.2.15 Zingiber officinale Roscoe.

The plants of *Z. officinale* showed a somatic chromosome number of 2n = 22 (Figure 4.1J), which agrees with the studies previous was reported as 2n = 22 (Moringa *et al.*, 1929; Sugiura, 1936; Raghavan and Venkatasubban, 1943; Darlington and Ammal, 1945; Chakravorti, 1948; Sharma and Bhattacharya, 1959; Saensouk, 2005 and Daryono *et al.*, 2012). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 1.76 to 3.83 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.68 to 1.37 µm, and 1.08 to 2.45 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0595 to 0.643. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.060 to 0.131 (Table 4.20).

The data demonstrate that *Z. officinale* has 10 large and 12 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula of  $(2n, 22) = L^{m}_{2} + L^{sm}_{8} + M^{sm}_{12}$  (Figure 4.16A). An idiogram of *Z. officinale* of the haploid set (n = 11) is shown in Figure 4.16B and observed symmetrical karyotypes. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.16 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber officinale.

**Table 4.20** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI), and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingiber officinale*, 2n (diploid) = 22.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	1.37	2.45	3.83	$0.643\pm0.049$	$0.131\pm0.010$	Large	submetacentric
2	1.29	2.22	3.51	$0.632\pm0.020$	$0.120\pm0.004$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.12	2.03	3.15	$0.644\pm0.021$	$0.108\pm0.004$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.07	1.96	3.03	$0.648\pm0.031$	$0.103\pm0.005$	Large	submetacentric
5	1.04	1.58	2.62	$0.595{\pm}0.028$	$0.089\pm0.004$	Large	metacentric
6	0.98	1.54	2.52	$0.610\pm0.011$	$0.086\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
7	0.94	1.50	2.44	$0.614\pm0.016$	$0.083\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
8	0.83	1.44	2.26	$0.635\pm0.008$	$0.077\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
9	0.77	1.39	2.16	$0.642\pm0.001$	$0.074\pm0.000$	Medium	submetacentric
10	0.75	1.28	2.04	$0.631\pm0.009$	$0.069\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric
11	0.68	1.08	1.76	$0.611 \pm 0.011$	$0.060\pm0.001$	Medium	submetacentric

Note: Chro. = Chromosome



#### 4.2.16 Zingiber ottensii Valeton.

The somatic cells of root tips of *Z. ottensii* showed a chromosome number of 2n = 22, (Figure 4.2K) and correspond to a previous count for *Z. ottensii* was determined in 1943 to be 2n = 22 (Raghavan and Venkatasubban, 1943). The total chromosome length of individual chromosomes ranged between 1.64 to 5.88 µm. with the length of short arms and the long arms 0.78 to 2.45 µm. and 0.86 to 3.43 µm, respectively. The chromosomes according to centromeric index (CI) ranged from 0.533 to 0.624. The relative lengths (RL%) in this study ranged from 0.043 to 0.155 (Table 4.21).

The data demonstrate that Z. *ottensii* has 8 large and 14 medium chromosomes and a karyotype formula of  $(2n, 22) = (2n, 22) = L^m_4 + L^{sm}_4 + M^m_{10} + M^{sm}_4$  (Figure 4.17A). An idiogram of Z. *ottensii* of the haploid set (n = 11) is shown in Figure 4.17B and observed symmetrical karyotypes. This is the first report of karyotype for this species.



Figure 4.17 Karyotype (A) and idiogram (B) of Zingiber ottensii.



**Table 4.21** Mean length of short arm chromosome (Ls), length of long arm chromosome (Ll), length of total chromosome (LT), Relative length (RL), Centromeric index (CI) and standard deviation (SD) of CI and Rl from 10 metaphase spreads of *Zingiber ottensii*, 2n (diploid) = 22.

Chro. pair	Ls	Ll	LT	$CI \pm SD$	RL± SD	Chro. size	Chro. type
1	2.45	3.43	5.88	$0.586 \pm 0.060$	$0.155\pm0.016$	Large	metacentric
2	2.29	3.59	5.89	$0.611\pm0.033$	$0.155 \pm 0.008$	Large	submetacentric
3	1.86	3.01	4.87	$0.621\pm0.050$	$0.129\pm0.010$	Large	submetacentric
4	1.64	2.18	3.83	$0.582\pm0.115$	$0.101\pm0.020$	Large	metacentric
5	1.34	2.22	3.56	$0.624\pm0.027$	$0.094 \pm 0.004$	Medium	submetacentric
6	1.25	1.75	3.00	$0.583 \pm 0.010$	$0.079\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
7	1.10	1.62	2.72	$0.597 \pm 0.041$	$0.072\pm0.005$	Medium	metacentric
8	0.92	1.53	2.45	$0.624\pm0.018$	$0.065\pm0.002$	Medium	submetacentric
9	0.88	1.28	2.16	$0.591 \pm 0.010$	$0.057\pm0.001$	Medium	metacentric
10	0.79	1.10	1.89	$0.583 \pm 0.050$	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	Medium	metacentric
11	0.78	0.86	1.64	$0.533\pm0.087$	$0.043\pm0.007$	Medium	metacentric

Note: Chro. = Chromosome



## **CHAPTER 5**

## Conclusion

#### 5.1 Chromosome number and karyotype

Cytogenetics for 16 species of Thai Zingiberaceae from five different genera (*Alpinia, Amomum Elletariopsis, Kaempferia* and *Zingiber*) was determined using tissue samples of root tips. The somatic numbers range from 2n = 22 to 2n = 48 showing diploidy and to explanations below.

#### 5.1.1 Alpinia

Four species in *Alpinia* were studied have somatic chromosome number of 2n = 48 and found that most of them have 2n = 4x = 48, suggesting that them are tetraploid. The chromosome relative lengths (RL%) in all species ranged from 0.018 to 0.072. The chromosomes consist of large (L) and medium (M) chromosomes size. In addition, three species are observed asymmetrical karyotypes, whereas observed symmetrical karyotypes in *A. zerumbet*. Karyotypes from diploid cell of *Alpinia* were formulated as following:

A. galanga (No.1)	$(2n, 48) = L^{\rm sm}_{20} + L^{\rm a}_{2} + M^{\rm sm}_{26}$
A. galanga (No.2)	$(2n, 48) = L^{\rm sm}_{18} + L^{\rm a}_2 + M^{\rm sm}_{28}$
A. siamensis	$(2n, 48) = L^{m_4} + L^{sm_8} + L^{a_{16}} + M^{m_4} + M^{sm_{10}} + M^{a_6}$
A. zerumbet	$(2n, 48) = L^{m}_{2} + L^{sm}_{14} + M^{m}_{20} + M^{sm}_{12}$

#### 5.1.2 Amomum

Two species of *Amomum* were studied: *A. biflorum* and *A. schmidtii* have the same number, 2n = 48 and found that most of them of *Amomum* as 2n = 4x = 48. The most common cytotypes found in this species are tetraploid. The chromosome relative lengths (RL%) in all species ranged from 0.021 to 0.075. The chromosomes consist of large (L) and medium (M) chromosomes size. In addition, all species are observed asymmetrical karyotypes, with karyotypes from diploid cell of *Amomum* were formulated as following:

A. biflorum  $(2n, 48) = L^{sm}{}_{10} + L^{a}{}_{2} + M^{sm}{}_{36}$ A. schmidtii  $(2n, 48) = L^{sm}{}_{10} + L^{a}{}_{2} + M^{sm}{}_{16} + M^{m}{}_{10}$ 



#### 5.1.3 Elettariopsis

*Elettariopsis* is a small genus counting around 36 recognized species. Two species of *Elettariopsis* were studied: The somatic number of *E. biphylla* and *E. triloba* is 2n = 48, conclude that is tetraploid. The chromosome relative lengths (RL%) in two species ranged from 0.023 to 0.158. The chromosomes consist of large (L) and medium (M) chromosomes size. In this study found that the  $22^{nd}$ ,  $24^{th}$  medium chromosome pairs were of the telocentric chromosomes type in *E. biphylla*. The karyotypes from diploid cell of *Elettariopsis* were formulated as following:

*E. biphylla*  
*E. triloba*  

$$(2n, 48) = L^{m}_{6} + L^{sm}_{16} + L^{a}_{4} + M^{m}_{2} + M^{sm}_{16} + M^{t}_{4}$$
  
*E. triloba*  
 $(2n, 48) = L^{m}_{4} + L^{sm}_{10} + L^{a}_{2} + M^{m}_{14} + M^{sm}_{18}$ 

#### 5.1.4 Kaempferia

Four species of *Kaempferia* were examined with a chromosome number ranging of 2n = 22 to 2n = 42. The somatic numbers of *K. angustifolia* and *K. grandifolia* are 2n = 22, while the chromosome numbers found in *K. rotunda* and *K. marginata* are 2n = 30 and 42, respectively. This study also indicates that the base chromosome number of *Kaempferia* is x = 11. The chromosome relative lengths (RL%) in all species ranged from 0.023 to 0.161. The chromosomes consist of large (L) and medium (M) chromosomes size and observed asymmetrical karyotypes. The karyotypes from diploid cell of *Kaempferia* were formulated as following:

K. angustifolia	$(2n, 22) = L^{m_2} + L^{sm_8} + L^{a_2} + M^{m_4} + M^{sm_4} + M^{t_2}$
K. grandifolia	$(2n, 22) = L^{a}_{8} + M^{a}_{14}$
K. marginata	$(2n, 42) = L^{m_4} + L^{sm_2} + L^{a_4} + M^{m_{16}} + M^{sm_{16}}$
K. rotunda	$(2n, 30) = L^{\rm sm}_{14} + M^{\rm m}_{6} + M^{\rm sm}_{10}$

#### 5.1.5 Zingiber

According to this study the somatic number of 2n = 22 were found in *Z. montanum, Z. mekongense, Z. officinale* and *Z. ottensii*, confirming that these four *Zingiber* species are diploid. The chromosome relative lengths (RL%) in all species ranged from 0.043 to 0.155. The chromosomes consist of large (L) and medium (M) chromosomes size. In this investigation, the nucleolar organizer regions (NORs), which represents the chromosome marker in located only on the short arms of the 6<sup>th</sup> medium

chromosome pairs were of the acrocentric chromosomes type in *Z. mekongense*. The karyotypes from diploid cell of *Zingiber* were formulated as following:

Z. montanum	$(2n, 48) = L^{m}_{2} + L^{sm}_{12} + M^{m}_{6} + M^{sm}_{2}$
Z. mekongense	$(2n, 22) = L^{m_2} + L^{sm_4} + L^{a_4} + M^{sm_6} + M^{a_6}$
Z. officinale	$(2n, 22) = L^{m_2} + L^{sm_8} + M^{sm_{12}}$
Z. ottensii	$(2n, 42) = L^{m_4} + L^{sm_4} + M^{m_{10}} + M^{sm_4}$

Karyomorphological studies of the plants of Zingiberaceae are especially difficult because of the small size and high number of chromosomes.

In the present study, no variable chromosome number was found in the species studied. But it should also be noted that the study was confined to plants from definite geographic locations. The karyotypes of the 16 species studied were documented and analyzed using software-assisted imaging techniques that helped in acquiring accurate data regarding chromosome numbers and types, which is more expedient than manual karyotype analysis. Increasing the range of collection of these plants in further study might help in solving the karyological puzzle that exists in these species. Also, more karyomorphological studies of the plants of Zingiberaceae are needed in order to fill in the gap that exists in our present knowledge of understanding the karyological evolution and speciation in the family Zingiberaceae.



References



## References

- Acevedo-Rodriguez P and Strong MT (2005) Monocotyledones and Gymnosperms of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. *Contributions from the United State National Herbarium*, 52, 1-415.
- Beltran IC and Kiew KY (1984) Cytotaxonomic studies in the Zingiberaceae. *Notes* from the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, 41(3), 541-559.
- Bhadra S and Bandyopadhyay M (2016) New chromosome number counts and karyotype analyses in three important genera of Zingiberaceae. Nucleus, 59, 35-40.
- Chatterjee A and Pakrashi SC (2001) *The Treatise on Indian Medicinal Plants*, Vol. 6. National Institute of Science and Communication, CSIR, New Delhi. p. 403.
- Chen ZY Chen SJ, Huang XX and Huang, SF (1989) A report on chromosome numbers on Chinese Zingiberaceae. *Guihaia*, 8(5), 143-147.
- Chen J and Xia N (2013) Chromosome Numbers and ploidy levels of Chinese *curcuma* Species. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 48(5), 525-530.
- Chen J, Zhao JT, Erickson DL, Xia NH and Kress WJ (2015) Testing DNA barcodes in closely related species of *Curcuma* (Zingiberaceae) from Myanmar and China. *Molecular Ecology Resource*, 15, 337-348.
- Chirangini P, Sharma GJ and Sinha SK (2004) Sulfur free radical reactivity with curcumin as reference for evaluating antioxidant properties of medicinal Zingiberales. *Journal of Environmental Pathology, Toxicology and Oncology* 23(3), 227 – 36.
- Darlington DC and Wylie AP (1945) *Chromosome atlas of flowering plants* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London, George Allen & Unwin LTD.
- Das AB, Rai S and Das P (1998) Karyotype analysis and cytophotometric estimation of nuclear DNA content in some members of the Zingiberaceae. *Cytobios*, 384, 23-33.
- Dhamayanthi KPM and Zachariah TJ (1998) Studies on karyology and essential oil constituents in two cultivars of ginger. *The Journal of cytology and genetics*, 33(2), 195-199.



- Eksomtramage L and Boontum K (1995) Chromosome counts of Zingiberaceae. Songklanakarin. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 17(3), 291-297.
- Eksomtramage L, Sirirugsa P and Mayakul S (1996) Chromosome numbers of some Thai Zingiberaceae. Songklanakarin. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 18(2), 153-159.
- Eksomtramage L, Sirirugsa P Jivanit P and Maknoi C (2002) Chromosome counts of some Zingiberaceous species from Thailand Songklanakarin Journal of Science Education and Technology, 24(2), 311-319.
- Eksomtramage L, Sirirugsa P, Sawangchote P, ornead S, Saknimit T and Leeratiwong C (2001) Chromosome numbers of some monocot species from Ton-Nga-Chang Wildlife Sanctuary Southern Thailand. *Thai Forest Bulletin (Botany)*, 29, 63-71.
- Guerra M (2012) Cytotaxonomy: the end of childhood. *Plant Biosystem*, 146(3), 703-710.
- Harris DJ, Poulsen AD, Frimodt C, Preston J and Cronk QCB (2000) Rapid radiation in *Aframomum* (Zingiberaceae): evidence from nuclear ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences. *Edinburgh Journal of Botany*, 57, 377-395.
- Holttum, RE (1950) The Zingiberaceae of the Malay Peninsula. *Gardens' Bulletin* Singapore, 13, 1-249.
- Jain JP (1982) *Statistical Techniques in Quantitative Genetics*. New Delhi: Tata Mc Graw Hill.
- Jain SK and Prakash V (1995) Zingiberaceae in India: phytogeography and endemism. *Rheedea*, 5, 154-169.
- Joshi SG (2000) Medicinal plants. New Delhi. Oxford IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd.
- Joseph R (2010) Karyomorphometrical analysis and exploration of major essential oil constituents in Zingiberaceae. PhD thesis. Gandhi University India.
- Kaewsri W, Paisooksantivatana Y and Veesommai U (2009) A new record and a new synonym in Amomum Roxb. (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand. Thai Forest Bulletin (Botany), 37, 32-35.

- Kam YK (1982) The genus *Elettariopsis* (Zingiberaceae) in Malaya. *Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh*, 40, 139-152.
- Kirtikar KR and Basu BD (1975) Indian Medicinal Plants. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal
- Kittipanangkul N and Ngamriabsakul C (2006) Pollen and pollinator limitation of seed initiation in *Etlingera littoralis* (J. König) Giseke (Zingiberaceae) in Klong Klai Basin, Khao Nan National Park, Thailand. *Walailak Journal of Science* and Technology, 3, 207-17.
- Kittipanangkul N and Ngamriabsakul C (2008) Zingiberaceae Diversity in Khao Nan and Khao Luang National Parks, Nakhon Si Thammarat, Thailand. Walailak Journal of Science and Technology, 5 (1), 17-27.
  - Singh: Dehradun.
- Khare CP (2007) Indian Medicinal Plants And Illustrated Dictionary. New Delhi.: Springer Pvt. Ltd.
- Khamtang L, Saensouk S, Saensouk P and Thanonkeo S (2014) Chromosome numbers of Zingiberaceae in Phu Laenkha National Park Chaiyaphum Province. In the 10<sup>th</sup> Symposium on Mahasarakham University. Mahasarakham, Thailand.
- Kharukanant B and Tohdam S (2003) A new species of *Boesenbergia* O. Kuntze (Zingiberaceae) from Peninsular Thailand. *Folia Malaysiana*, 4: 19-24.
- Khory RS and Katrak NN (1999) *Materia Medica of India and their Theraputics*. Delhi: Komal Prakashan.
- Kress WJ and Larsen K (2001) *Smithatris*, a new genus of Zingiberaceae from Southeast Asia. *Systematic Botany*, 26, 226-230.
- Kress WJ, Prince LM and Williams KJ (2002) The phylogeny and a new classification of the gingers (Zingiberaceae): evidence from molecular data. American Journal of Botany, 89, 1682-1696.
- Omanakumari N and Mathew P M (1991) Cytological studies on the genus *Kaempferia* L. from south India. *Chromosome Research*, 14, 1-6.
- Ono M and Masuda Y (1981) Chromosome numbers of some endemic species of the Benin Islands II. *Ogasawara Res*, 4, 1-24.
- Larsen K (1962) Studies in Zingiberaceae III. On a new species of *Kaempferia* from Thailand and its relatives. *Botanisk Tidsskrift*, 58, 199-203.

- Larsen K (1972) Studies in genus *Globba* in Thailand. Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden, Edinburgh, 31, 229-221.
- Larsen K (1980) Annotated key to the genera of Zingiberaceae of Thailand. *Natural History Bulletin of the Siam Society*, 28, 151-169.
- Larsen K (1996) A preliminary checklist of the family Zingiberaceae in Thailand. The Forest Herbarium, Royal Forest Department, Bangkok. *Thai Forest Bulletin* (*Botany*), 24, 65-78.
- Larsen K (2003) Three new species of *Caulokaemferia* (Zingiberaceae) from Thailand with a discussion of the genetic diversity. *Nordic Journal of Botany*, 22, 409-417.
- Larsen K (2006) The Zingiberaceae in flora of Thailand, p. 6. In the 3<sup>rd</sup> Symposium on the Family Zingiberaceae. Khon Kaen, Thailand.
- Larsen K and Larsen SS (2006). *Gingers of Thailand*. Chiang Mai: Queen Sirikit Botanic Garden.
- Larsen K and Mood J (1998). *Siamanthus*, a new genus of Zingiberaceae from Thailand. *Nordic Journal of Botany*, 18, 393-397.
- Levan A, Frodgga K and Sandberg AA (1964) Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. *Heriditas*, 52, 200-220.
- Mahanty HK (1970) A cytological study of the *Zingiberales* with special reference to their taxonomy. *Cytologia*, 35, 13-49.
- Nadkarni KM (1999) Indian Materia Medica. Mumbai, India, Popular Prakashan.
- Nair RR (2000) Cytogenetics and reproductive biology of major spices. In: Zachariah,T. J. and Krishnamurthy, K S. (eds.) Ann. Rep. 2000. *Indian Institute of Spices Research. Calicut.* p. 38-39.
- Nair RR and Sasikumar B (2009) Chromosome number variation among germplasm collections and seedling progenies in turmeric, *curcuma longa* L. *Cytologia*, 74(2), 153-157.
- Nerle SK and Torne SG (1984) Studies in *Kaempferia* species (variation of DNA content in four *Kaempferia* species). *The Indian Science Congress Association*, 71(4A), 46.

- Ngamriabsakul C (2004) A Chromosomal Study of *Roscoea* and *Cautleya* (Zingiberaceae): phylogenetic implications. *Walailak Journal of Science and Technology*, 1(2), 70-86.
- Pandey BP (2001) Economic Botany. New Delhi : S Chand and Company Pvt. Ltd.
- Pedersen LB (2004) Phylogenetic analysis of the subfamily Alpinioideae (Zingiberaceae) with special emphasis on *Etlingera* Giseke, based on nuclear and plastid DNA. *Plant Systematics and Evolution*, 245, 239-258.
- Picheansoonthon C (2011) Two new *Kaempferia* (Zingiberaceae) from Thailand. *The Journal of Japanese Botany*, 86(1), 1-8.
- Picheansoonthon C and Koonterm S (2008) A new species of *Kaempferi* (Zingiberaceae) from Southern Laos. *Taiwania*, 53(4), 406-409.
- Picheansoonthon C and Koonterm S (2009) Two new *Kaempferia* L. (Zingiberaceae) from southern Laos. *Taiwania*, 54(3), 219-225.
- Picheansoonthorn C and Yupparach P (2012) Recent studies on the genus *Elettariopsis* Baker (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand – descriptions of three new species. *The Journal of Japanese Botany*, 87, 85-95.
- Picheansoonthon C and Mokkamul P (2005) Two new Caulokaempferia (Zingiberaceae) from northeastern Thailand. Folia Malaysiana, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 147(1), 91-98.
- Raghavan TS and Venkatasubban KR (1943) Cytological studies in the family Zingiberaceae with special reference to chromosome number and cytotaxonomy. *The Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India, Section B: Biological Sciences*, 17, 118-32.
- Rangsiruji A, Newman MF and Cronk QCB (2000) Origin and relationshiups of Alpinia galanga (Zingiberaceae) based on molecular data. Edinburgh Journal of Botany, 57, 9-37.
- Ravindran PN (2007) Turmeric- The Golden Spice. In: Ravindran P. N. and Nirmal Babu K. (eds.) Turmeric - The Genus Curcuma; Medicinal and Aromatic Plants - Industrial Profiles, Boca Raton : CRC Press, USA. p. 1-13.

- Ravindran V, Hew LI, Ravindran G and Bryden WL (2005) Apparent ileal digestibility of amino acids in feed ingredients for broiler chickens. *Animal Science Journal*, 81, 85-97.
- Sabu M (2006) Zingiberaceae and Costaceae of South India. Indian Association for Angiosperm Taxonomy. Department of Botany, Calicut University, Kerala, India.
- Sabu M. and Skinner D (2005) Other Economically Important Zingiber Species. In: Ravindran P. N. and Nirmal Babu K. (eds.) Ginger -The Genus Zingiber. Medicinal and Aromatic Plants - Industrial Profiles. Boca Raton : CRC Press, , USA. p. 533-545.
- Saensouk S (2000) A study on Morphology, Chromosome and Pollen of the Family Zingiberaceae in Phu Phan National Park. A thesis for the degree of master of science in biology, Graduate school Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen province.
- Saensouk S, Chantaranothai P and Larsen K (2003) Notes on the genus Alpinia (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand. *Thai Forest Bulletin (Botany)*, 31, 95-104.
- Saensouk S and Jenjittikul T (2002) *Kaempferia grandifolia*, sp. nov. (Zingiberaceae) a new species from Thailand. *Nordic Journal of Botany*, 21, 139-142.
- Saensouk S and Larsen K (2001) *Boesenbergia baimaii*, a new species of Zingiberaceae from Thailand. *Nordic Journal of Botany*, 21, 595-597.
- Saensouk S and Saensouk P (2004) Chromosome numbers of some Zingiberaceae in Thailand. *KKU Research Journal*, 9(1), 3-9.
- Saensouk S and Saensouk P (2014) *Elettariopsis biphylla*, a new species of Zingiberaceae from Thailand. *Phytotaxa*, 1, 23-25.
- Sakai S and Nagamasu H (1998) Systematic studies of Bornean Zingiberaceae I. Amomum in Lambir Hills. Edinburgh Journal of Botany, 55, 45-64.
- Sato D (1960) The karyotype analysis in Zingiberales with special reference to the protokaryotype and stable karyotype. Scientific Papers of the College of General Education Tokyo (Japan): University of Tokyo.
- Schumann K (1904) Zingiber: Das Pjlanzenreich. Wilhelni Engelniann. Leipzig, pp. 163-187.

- Sharma AK and Bhattacharya NK (1959) Cytology of several members of Zingiberaceae. *La Cellule*, 59, 297-346.
- Siljak-Yakovlev S and Peruzzi L (2012) Cytogenetic characterization of endemics: past and future. *Plant Biosystems*, 146, 694-702.
- Singh MP and Panda H (2005) *Medicinal Herbs with their Formulations*. Delhi : Daya Publishing House.
- Sirirugsa P (2001) Zingiberaceae of Thailand, In: V. Baimai and R. Kumhom. BRT Research Reports 2001. Biodiversity Research and Training Program. Jirawat Express Co., Ltd., Bangkok (in Thai). p. 63–77.
- Sirisawad T, Sirirugsa P, Suwanthada C and Apavtjrut P (2003) Investigation of chromosome numbers in 20 taxa of Curcuma. In: Chantaranothai P, Larsen K, Sirirugsa P, Simpson D, (eds). Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Symposium on the family Zingiberaceae; Khon Kaen: Applied Taxonomic Research Centre, Khon Kaen University; pp. 54-62.
- Sirirugsa P (1989). The genus *Kaempferia* (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand. *Nordic Journal* of Botany, 9, 267-70.
- Sirirugsa PA (1992)a. Revision of the genus *Boesenbergia* Kuntze (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand. *Natural History Bulletin of the Siam Society*, 40, 67–90.
- Sirirugsa P (1992)b. Taxonomy of the genus *Kaempferia* (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand *Thai Forest Bulletin (Botany)*, 19, 1-15.
- Smith RM (1985) A review of Bornean Zingiberaceae: 1 (Alpineae p.p.). Notes from the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, 42, 261-314.
- Smitinand T and Nanakorn W (2002) Ethnobotany. In the national seminar on ethnobotany in 1991 and 1996: Ethnobotany in Thailand. Office of The National Culture Commission in Ministry of Culture. Bangkok, p. 35-40.
- Stace CA. (2000) Cytology and cytogenetics as a fundamental resource for the 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>th</sup> centuries. *Taxon*, 49, 451-477.

Tanomtong A (2001) Cytogenetics. Khon Kaen University. Khon Kaen, Thailand. p. 88.

Theilade I (1999) A synopsis of the genus *Zingiber* (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand. *Nordic Journal of Botany*, 19(4), 389-410.

- Triboun P, Chantaranothai P and Larsen K (2002) Zingiberidae, a new name for Z. villosum Theilade (Zingiberaceae). Thai Forest Bulletin (Botany), 30, 62-68.
- Turpin R and Lejeune J (1965) Les chromosomes humnains., Paris: Gauthier-Villars.
- Warrier PK, Nambiar VPK, Ramankutty C and Nair R (1994) In Indian medicinal plants: A compendium of 500 species, 2nd edn., Chennai, India, Orient Longman Pvt Ltd,.
- Weerapakdee W and Krasaechai A (1997) Collection and development studies on certain Curcuma spp. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 13(2), 127-136.
- Wu TL and Larsen K (2000) Zingiberaceae. In: Wu, Z.-Y. and P. H. Raven (eds.), *Flora* of China. Sci. Press, Beijing, China.

Appendices



#### **1. Preparation of solution**

1.1 Aceto-orcein preparation (2% solution)

Orcein is used in form of a 2% solution in 45% acetic acid. This solution is prepared by pouring 55 mL boiling glacial acetic acid over 2 g orcein powder. The solution is cooled, 45 mL of distilled water added, and filtered. This solution is unstable and should be prepared fresh before use.

### 1.2 Carnoy's solution I (Farmer's solution)

Carnoy's I is the most commonly used fixative and gives good results for a large number of different species and tissues. In general, fixed material can be stored at 4°C for several months. The following combinations are most commonly used to study plant chromosomes:

1 part glacial acetic acid

3 parts 95% or absolute (100%) ethanol

## 2. Preparation of squash-sample

2.1 Fixation and maceration (softening of the tissue)

Actively growing root tips were pretreated in a saturated paradichlorobenzens (PDB) solution for 4 hours at 4°C, fixed in Carnoy's solution for 30 minutes at 4°C, and stored in 70% ethanol at 4°C. Fixed cells fragments were hydrolyzed with 1 N HCl for 5 minutes at 60°C. Do not boil the roots as this will denature the chromosomes making them impossible to stain. Keep heating until the roots are soft (try by pressing the upper part of the root tip with the tweezers).

## 2.2 Staining and squashing

Add 1-2 drops of 2% aceto-orcein to a microscope slide and transfer one root tip from the fixation solution to the staining solution (move it quickly to make sure it's not dried) for 5 minutes. In order to achieve a high frequency of mitotic cells in your sample, you need to cut off, using the tweezers, the root tip and keep only the meristem (~1 mm). Pulverize the meristem carefully by grinding it with a plastic rod. In order for the staining solution to reach each individual cell, the tissue fragments need to be very

small. The pulverization also makes it easier to flatten the tissue fragments into unicellular layers later on.

The staining solution must not boil as this would destroy the morphology of the chromosomes. By heating the sample, chromosome staining gets more efficient and the cytoplasm gets detained (though this effect becomes more pronounced as the sample is cooled down again). Using the microscope, make sure the chromosomes are significantly stained before you press out the excess staining solution and flatten the cells.

Place your sample between filter papers on the desk end. Take a firm grip on the table and press with your thumb on the sample. Do not let the coverslip slide sidewise. Analyze your sample in the microscope and identify all the phases of mitosis. The samples dry quickly, and in order to increase the stability of your samples you can seal the coverslip with nail polish. Biography



# **Biography**

Name	Mrs. Kantiwa Saenprom				
Date of brith	1 <sup>st</sup> September 1981				
Place of birth	Khon Kaen Province, Thailand				
Institution attended					
2004	B.Ed. (Science), Loei Rajabhat University, Thailand.				
2007	M.Sc. (Biology), Khon Kaen University, Thailand.				
2018	Ph.D. (Biodiversity), Mahasarakham University.				
Position and Work Place					
	Medical Scientist, Department of Physiology, Faculty of				
	Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand.				
Contact address					
	116 Mailiwan Rd., Nongbua Subdistrict, Banfang District,				
	Khon Kaen Province, Thailand. 40002.				
Descende en el en el	J_				

## **Research grants & awards**

The financial support provided by a research grant from the Graduate School of Mahasarakham University, Thailand.

## **Research output**

Saenprom K, Saensouk S, Saensouk P and Senakun C (2016). In: Moongngam A,
Ruangwittayanusorn K, Butkhup L, Duangkhamchan W, Kaewduangta W,
Thaimuangphol W and Sutthi N (eds.) *IPSFAB 2016: Karyotype analysis of two Zingiber species : Proceedings of The 3<sup>rd</sup> International Postgraduate Symposium on Food, Agriculture and Biotechnology in Asean (IPSFAB 2016)*On 7-8 September 2016, Faculty of Technology Mahasarakham University,
Thailand. pp. 46-51.

Saenprom K, Saensouk S, Saensouk P and Senakun C (2015). Chromosome numbers of the genus Kaempferia (Zingiberaceae) in Thailand. The 7<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on the Family Zingiberaceae : Gingers for life On 16 -18 August 2015, The Empress hotel, Chiangmai, Thailand.

